

**COOPERATIVE LEARNING TO IMPROVE
ENGLISH DESCRIPTIVE WRITING FOR
PRIMARY SCHOOL PUPILS**

SATVINDER KAUR A/P JUJAR SINGH

**A PROJECT PAPER SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF
THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF
MASTER OF EDUCATION IN TEACHING OF
ENGLISH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE (TESL)**

**FACULTY OF LANGUAGES AND COMMUNICATION
UNIVERSITI PENDIDIKAN SULTAN IDRIS**

2010



05-4506832



pustaka.upsi.edu.my



Perpustakaan Tuanku Bainun
Kampus Sultan Abdul Jalil Shah



PustakaTBainun



ptbupsi

"I hereby declare that I have read this project paper and in my opinion this project paper is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Education in Teaching of English as a Second Language (TESL)"

Signature: 

Name of Supervisor: CIK HAJAH MARIYATUNNITHA SHARI

Date: 07.04.10



05-4506832



pustaka.upsi.edu.my



Perpustakaan Tuanku Bainun
Kampus Sultan Abdul Jalil Shah



PustakaTBainun



ptbupsi



05-4506832



pustaka.upsi.edu.my



Perpustakaan Tuanku Bainun
Kampus Sultan Abdul Jalil Shah



PustakaTBainun



ptbupsi



DECLARATION

I declare that the work in this dissertation is my own except for quotations and summaries which have been duly acknowledged.

05.04.2010

.....*Satvinder Kaur*.....

SATVINDER KAUR A/P JUJAR SINGH



M20072000574



05-4506832



pustaka.upsi.edu.my



Perpustakaan Tuanku Bainun
Kampus Sultan Abdul Jalil Shah



PustakaTBainun



ptbupsi

iii

To my dear husband and children



05-4506832



pustaka.upsi.edu.my



Perpustakaan Tuanku Bainun
Kampus Sultan Abdul Jalil Shah



PustakaTBainun



ptbupsi



05-4506832



pustaka.upsi.edu.my



Perpustakaan Tuanku Bainun
Kampus Sultan Abdul Jalil Shah



PustakaTBainun



ptbupsi



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my thanks to all the people who have made it possible for me to complete this study successfully.

My utmost gratitude to my project supervisor, Cik Hajah Mariyatunnitha Shari who has systematically and patiently guided me throughout the phases of the study. Her advice, ideas, and approachability has not only added value to the study but also made it a memorable one for me.

I would like to also show my appreciation to the pupils and teachers of Sekolah Kebangsaan Bukit Beruntung particularly Ms. Siti Mariana binti Yaacob and students of class 4 Cekal and 4 Amanah. My special thanks also to the principal Mr. Abdul Ghani bin Md. Hassan who has given his full cooperation and support to me in carrying out this study.

Last but not least, I am grateful to my husband, children, mother and sisters who have supported and encouraged me all the way in facing the challenges and tasks in completing this study.





ABSTRACT

Cooperative learning (CL) been practiced throughout human history and is a part of educational practice. Its effectiveness has been documented through numerous research studies. It is commonly accepted that cooperative learning is the best alternative for all pupils because it calls for active interaction between pupils of diverse abilities and backgrounds and produces more positive pupil outcomes in academic achievement, social behavior, and affective development. However, many English primary school teachers in Malaysia still find it difficult to incorporate this system of instructional method in their classroom. This study featured an activity-oriented brainstorming technique to find out if CL will improve the performance and motivation of pupils in descriptive writing. The pupils' performances and motivation were assessed through pre-tests, post-tests, brainstorming observation checklist and questionnaire. The study showed that pupils who were taught descriptive writing using CL showed significant improvement in post test scores. The significant achievement of the pupils was contributed by the high usage of Kagan's 5 elements of CL and also the DOVE brainstorming guidelines. The study also showed that CL motivates the pupils. In ensuring that the use of CL is effective in teaching the pupils, educators must train the pupils in CL skills. The study recommended that the Kagan's five CL elements and also the DOVE brainstorming guidelines are used for enhancing the interaction of pupils to effectively implement CL.

ABSTRAK

Pembelajaran koperatif telah dipraktikkan di dalam sebahagian besar sejarah manusia sebagai salah satu amalan pembelajaran. Keberkesanan pembelajaran koperatif ini telah direkodkan melalui pelbagai kajian. Kaedah pembelajaran ini secara lazimnya di terima sebagai alternatif terbaik untuk semua murid kerana ia menggalakkan interaksi aktif di kalangan murid yang terdiri dari pelbagai kebolehan dan latarbelakang. Kaedah ini menghasilkan keputusan yang baik dari segi akademik, kelakuan sosial and juga perkembangan efektif. Namun begitu, ramai guru-guru sekolah yang masih mengalami kemusykilan untuk melaksanakan kaedah pembelajaran ini di dalam kelas. Kajian ini melibatkan penggunaan kaedah pencetusan minda dalam menjalankan aktiviti untuk mengkaji samada pembelajaran koperatif dapat meningkatkan prestasi dan motivasi murid di dalam mempelajari penulisan deskriptif.. Prestasi dan tahap motivasi murid telah diukur melalui ujian sebelum dan selepas kaedah pembelajaran ini diaplikasikan, penelitian semasa pencetusan minda dan juga maklumbalas soalan dari murid. Kajian ini telah menunjukkan bahawa murid-murid yang telah diajar pelajaran penulisan deskriptif dengan kaedah pembelajaran ini telah menunjukkan prestasi yang memberangsangkan Keputusan ini dicapai hasil dari kejayaan murid – murid dalam mempraktikkan lima kaedah pembelajaran koperatif yang diperkenalkan oleh Kagan. Kajian ini juga telah membuktikan bahawa pembelajaran koperatif meningkatkan motivasi murid. Kajian ini mengesyorkan agar para pendidik memastikan bahawa murid dilatih untuk menggunakan kaedah pembelajaran koperatif ini dengan aktif berdasarkan elemen-elemen pembelajaran koperatif dan juga panduan pencetusan minda DOVE.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
DECLARATION	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
LIST APPENDICES	xiii

CHAPTER ONE: BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Statement of the Problem	2
1.3	Purpose of the Study	3
1.4	Research Questions	4
1.5	The Alternative Hypothesis	4
1.6	The Null Hypothesis	5
1.7	Significance of the Study	5
1.8	Limitations of the Study	5
1.9	Related Definitions	6
1.9.1	Cooperative learning	6
1.9.2	Conventional teaching	7
1.9.3	Descriptive writing	7
1.9.4	The New Primary School Syllabus (KBSR)	7

CHAPTER TWO: RELATED LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Introduction	9
2.2	Related Concepts	9
2.2.1	Cooperative learning	9
2.2.2	Writing	13

2.2.3	Descriptive writing	13
2.3	Related Theories	14
2.3.1	Social interdependence/interactionist theory	14
2.3.2	Behavioral theory	13
2.3.3	Cognitive development theory	16
2.4	Related Model- Brainstorming	17
2.5	Survey of Findings of Past Research	18
2.5.1	Past research in foreign countries	18
2.5.2	Past research in Malaysia	20
2.6	Summary of Past Research Findings	23

CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1	Introduction	25
3.2	Research Framework	25
3.3	Population and Sampling	27
3.4	Research Instrumentation	27
3.5	Research Procedures	28
3.6	Method of Data Analysis	30

CHAPTER FOUR: FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Introduction	33
4.2	Relationship Between CL Approach and Pupils' Achievement in Learning Descriptive Writing	33
4.2.1	The performance of the experimental group after being exposed to CL	33
4.2.2	Paired sample t-test scores of pre tests and post tests for the experimental group	37
4.2.3	Results of pre test and post test for control group	38
4.2.4	Paired sample t-test scores of pre test and post test of control group	40
4.2.5	Score difference between pre test and post test	41
4.3	Evaluation of Brainstorming Ability of Pupils	44
4.4	Effects of CL on the Motivation of the Pupils in the Experimental	45

	Group Towards Learning English	
4.5	Conclusion on Findings	51

CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1	Introduction	52
5.2	Conclusions	52
5.3	Recommendations	54

REFERENCES	56
------------	----

APPENDICES	59
------------	----

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
4.1	Pre test and Post test Scores for Experimental Group	33
4.2	Pre test and Post test Scores for Focus Group	36
4.3	Paired Sample T-Test Scores of Experimental Group	37
4.4	Pre test and Post test Scores for Control Group	38
4.5	Paired Sample T-Test Scores of Control Group	40
4.6	Score Difference Between Pre test and Post test	41
4.7	Independent Sample T-Test for Score Difference	43
4.8	Results of Observation on Brainstorming Ability of Students	44
4.9	Summary of Group Feedback on CL	46
4.10	Combined Students' Responses	46
4.11	Percentage of Combined Agree Responses by Category	49

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Framework of the Research	26
4.1	Pre Test and Post Test Scores for Experimental Group	35
4.2	Pre Test and Post Test Scores for Control Group	39
4.3	Percentage of Combined 'Agree' Responses by Category	50
4.4	Percentage of Combined 'Disagree' Responses by Category	50



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CL	Cooperative Learning
DOVE	Guidelines for brainstorming as a protocol for CL activities involving deferring judgment on any one else's ideas or comments (D), opting for the unusual and creative (O), generating a vast number of ideas (V) and expanding on the ideas by piggy backing off others (E)
ESL	English as a Second Language
EBC	Education Broadcasting Corporation
ETEMS	English for Teaching Mathematics and Science
KBSR	The Malaysian New Primary School Syllabus, 1983
LAD	Language Acquisition Device
MOEW	Ministry of Education, Wellington
SLA	Second Language Acquisition
UPSR	'Ujian Penilaian Sekolah Rendah'
VCAA	Victorian Curriculum & Assessment Authority





LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Summary of Past Findings	59
B	Lesson Plan for Experimental Group (for activities 1,2, and 3)	61
C	Lesson Plan for Control Group (for activities 1,2, and 3)	62
D1	Activity 1	67
D2	Activity 2	68
D3	Activity 3	69
E	Observation Checklist on Brainstorming	70
F	Pre test/Post test Sheet	71
G	Marking Scheme for Pre test and Post test	72
H	Group Evaluation Form	73





CHAPTER ONE

BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

1.1 Introduction

Learning of the English language is essential in the primary schools in Malaysia. The Deputy Prime Minister and Minister of Education, Tan Sri Muhyiddin Yassin recently acknowledged the importance of English although the decision to abolish ETEMS (English for Teaching Mathematics and Science) has been made and assured that English language will not be relegated to a secondary position (Regina, 2009).

Results from UPSR (Ujian Penilaian Sekolah Rendah) examination still show weak performance in the English subject despite numerous language enhancement initiatives undertaken by the government such as 'Extra English Tuition' and 'ETEMS'. Therefore, the usage of Cooperative learning (CL) can be explored to investigate whether CL can enhance the teaching of English in Malaysian Primary Schools.

Cooperative learning has been practiced throughout human history and is a part of educational practice. Its effectiveness has been documented through numerous research studies. This concept has been studied in the United States since 1970's (Kessler, 1992). CL is claimed to be an effective teaching method in foreign/second language education (Johnson, 1988; Kagan, 1990; Slavin, 1985) and locally in Malaysian institutes of learning (Tok, 2006).



It is commonly accepted that cooperative learning is the best alternative for all pupils because it calls for active interaction between pupils of diverse abilities and backgrounds and produces more positive pupil outcomes in academic achievement, social behavior, and affective development. However, many English primary school teachers in Malaysia still find it difficult to incorporate this system of instructional method in their classroom.

With numerous positive achievements by many researchers in using CL in English language enhancements, this project aims to study the application of CL to improve the English descriptive writing of pupils by conducting a quasi-experimental study in a primary school. In what follows, the problems in ESL teaching of descriptive writing in Malaysia will be stated. Then the purposes, along with the research questions, and the alternative hypothesis and null hypothesis of the study will be discussed. Finally, the definitions of terms used in the study will be stated.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

In the past few decades, the communicative language teaching, or the communicative approach, has been acknowledged as the main stream in ESL teaching (C. Murcia, Dörnyei & Thurrell, 1995). The focus of language teaching also expands from the teacher-centered manipulation of discrete grammatical structures to the pupil-centered acquisition of communicative competence (Murcia, Dörnyei & Thurrell, 1995). Experts in communicative approach suggest that contextualized and meaningful communication is the best possible practice that language learners can engage in (Savignon, 1983).



However, such approach has not established a foothold in the English education in Malaysia. Conventional teacher-centered teaching is still widely practiced in English writing classrooms in Malaysia. The teacher controls the floor by delivering the lesson throughout the classroom session, and the pupils simply sit and listen. Numerous studies and educational reports have pointed out that the solitary models of the traditional teaching method tend to make pupils overly passive and indifferent to what is being taught (Hamm & Adams, 1992).

The Malaysian New Primary School Syllabus (KBSR) launched in 1983, demands for the creation of opportunities for individual expression (for example, through music, art and writing), the facilitation of understanding, and the employment of a variety of means to obtain knowledge. Although the original intention was to increase teacher-pupil contact and rapport, the KBSR has not been effective mainly because of an impractical teacher-pupil ratio (1:50 in many schools) (Rajeswari, 2003). Since student-centered teaching is not practical in Malaysia, an alternative such as CL can probably work.

Up to year three, the pupils in Malaysian Primary schools are given 'guided' descriptive writing where sentences are given and pupils are only required to fill in the blanks. Descriptive writing in essay format is only introduced to pupils in Malaysian primary schools in year four and at this point of time, many pupils have difficulty in acquiring the descriptive writing skills.



1.3 Purpose of the Study

Concerning the difficulty faced by pupils as mentioned above, and based upon Brown (2001) belief that “cooperative learning is embraced within a communicative language teaching framework,” this study features the activity-oriented brainstorming technique to find out if CL will improve the performance and motivation of pupils in descriptive writing.

1.4 Research Questions

The present study attempts to answer the following research questions:

i) What is the relationship between CL approach and pupils achievement in Learning Descriptive Writing?

ii) What are the effects of CL on the motivation of the pupils in the experimental group towards learning English?

1.5 The Alternative Hypothesis

As a result of the intervention strategy of CL during the period of January to March 2010, the Primary four pupils in the experimental group will experience an increase in their achievement and motivation in learning descriptive writing as compared to the year four pupils in the control group.

1.6 The Null Hypothesis

As a result of the intervention strategy of CL during the period of January to March 2010, the Primary four pupils in the experimental group will not experience an increase in their achievement and motivation in learning descriptive writing as compared to the year four pupils in the control group.

1.7 Significance of the Study

This study benefits the participating school, the subject teacher as well as the pupils involved in the study. The participating school will benefit because the results will be a guideline for the teachers to determine the effective way which can benefit the pupils in acquiring the descriptive writing skills.

The subject teacher will benefit since he/she is actively involved in the study. The teacher can discover some of the problems faced by the pupils and continue to rectify the problems. The pupils can gain a lot of benefits because CL approach can give pupils the chance to develop positive and productive relationships. It gives pupils opportunities to learn from one another rather than receive information from the teacher or text alone.

1.8 Limitations of the Study

The study is limited in several aspects. Firstly, the size of the sample is small. The study was conducted only in two Year four classes in a primary school. Therefore, the

generalizability of the results is also limited by the small scale of the study. There might be extraneous variables that can invariably affect the findings such as the cultural and educational background of the pupils, thus affecting the reliability of the findings.

1.9 Related Definitions

The terms defined in this section include:

- Cooperative learning
- Conventional teaching
- The New Primary School Syllabus (KBSR)

1.9.1 Cooperative Learning

According to Tsailing (2002), cooperative learning is defined as a system of concrete teaching and learning techniques, rather than an approach, in which pupils are active agents in the process of learning through small group structures so that pupils work together to maximize their own and each other's learning. (Johnson, 1986; Kagan, 1986; Slavin, 1988) described cooperative learning as a large group of instructional strategies in which pupils collaborate in order to reach a common goal.

From the definitions given by the above researchers, it can be summarized that CL is a teaching strategy in which small teams, each with pupils of different levels of ability, use a variety of learning activities to improve their understanding of a subject. Each member of a team is responsible not only for learning what is taught but also for



helping teammates learn, thus creating an atmosphere of achievement. Pupils work through the assignment until all group members successfully understand and complete it.

1.9.2 Conventional Teaching

Conventional method of teaching English in Malaysian schools here refers to the method that incorporates lectures by ESL teachers on English language skills such as grammatical rules and sentence structures to a class in whole without much teacher-pupil and pupil-pupil interactions.



1.9.3 Descriptive Writing

MOEW (2005) described descriptive writing as a style of writing which can be useful for a variety of purposes such as to engage a reader's attention, to create characters, to set a mood or create an atmosphere and to bring writing to life. The language used in descriptive writing aims to show rather than tell the reader what something/someone is like and relies on precisely chosen vocabulary with carefully chosen adjectives and adverbs.

1.9.4 The New Primary School Syllabus (KBSR)

The New Primary School Syllabus (KBSR) introduced by the Malaysian Education Ministry in 1983 adopts a double-pronged approach to satisfy the educational requirements of the Malaysian pupils: one is to raise the literacy level of the pupils,





and the other is to develop their cognitive and thinking skills. By this means, it hopes to provide the pupils with an all-rounded individual development which will help them operate efficiently within the social structure of this country.

The KBSR is divided into 2 Levels; Level I comprising Standards 1 to 3, and Level II, Standards 4 to 6. The former emphasizes the basic communication skills, that is, reading, writing and arithmetic. Children are taught to listen, say aloud, recognize and form symbols, numbers and the alphabet, and to use all these in writing. They are also taught basic counting, and the mathematical skills of addition, subtraction, division and multiplication.

Level II strengthens and builds upon these basic skills: the emphasis here is on using these skills for thinking and communication, especially logical reasoning, and understanding social and current issues. However, what should be noted is that, in both levels, there is an implicit demand for the creation of opportunities for individual expression (for example, through music, art and writing), the facilitation of understanding and the employment of a variety of means to obtain knowledge.

