

EXPRESSION AND CHARACTERIZATION  
OF LIPASE FROM OIL PALM  
(*ELAEIS GUINEENSIS*)

FATIN FATHIAH BTE SAFIUDIN

UNIVERSITI PENDIDIKAN SULTAN IDRIS

2025

EXPRESSION AND CHARACTERIZATION  
OF LIPASE FROM OIL PALM  
(*ELAEIS GUINEENSIS*)

FATIN FATHIAH BTE SAFIUDIN

Perpustakaan Tuanku Bainun  
Kampus Sultan Abdul Jalil Shah  
PustakaTBainun  
DISSSERTATION PRESENTED TO QUALIFY FOR A  
MASTER'S IN SCIENCE BIOLOGY (RESEARCH MODE)

FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITI PENDIDIKAN SULTAN IDRIS

2025



Please tick (✓)

Project Paper

Masters by Research

Master by Mixed Mode

PhD

<input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>

**INSTITUTE OF GRADUATE STUDIES****DECLARATION OF ORIGINAL WORK**

This declaration is made on the .....<sup>9</sup>.....day of.....<sup>9</sup>.....20..<sup>25</sup>.....

**i. Student's Declaration:**

I, FATIN FATHIAH BTE SAFIUDIN, M20211002329, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS (PLEASE INDICATE STUDENT'S NAME, MATRIC NO. AND FACULTY) hereby declare that the work entitled EXPRESSION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF LIPASE FROM OIL PALM (ELAEIS GUINEENSIS) is my original work. I have not copied from any other students' work or from any other sources except where due reference or acknowledgement is made explicitly in the text, nor has any part been written for me by another person.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of the student

**ii. Supervisor's Declaration:**

I, ASSOC. PROF. DR. MUHAMMAD AQIL ARYAN WONG (SUPERVISOR'S NAME) hereby certifies that the work entitled EXPRESSION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF LIPASE FROM OIL PALM (ELAEIS GUINEENSIS) (TITLE) was prepared by the above named student, and was submitted to the Institute of Graduate Studies as a \* partial/full fulfillment for the conferment of MASTER OF SCIENCE (BIOLOGY) (RESEARCH MODE) (PLEASE INDICATE THE DEGREE), and the aforementioned work, to the best of my knowledge, is the said student's work.

17 OCTOBER 2025

Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of the Supervisor





## INSTITUT PENGAJIAN SISWAZAH / INSTITUTE OF GRADUATE STUDIES

### BORANG PENGESAHAN PENYERAHAN TESIS/DISERTASI/LAPORAN KERTAS PROJEK DECLARATION OF THESIS/DISSERTATION/PROJECT PAPER FORM

Tajuk / Title: EXPRESSION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF LIPASE FROM OIL PALM  
(ELAEIS GUINEENSIS)

No. Matrik /:  
Matrik's No.: M20211002329

Saya / I am: FATIN FATHIAH BTE SAFIUDIN

(Nama Pelajar / Student's Name)

mengaku membenarkan Tesis/Disertasi/Laporan Kertas Projek (Kedoktoran/Sarjana) ini disimpan di Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris (Perpustakaan Tuanku Bainun) dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut: -  
*acknowledged that Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris (Tuanku Bainun Library) reserves the right as follows: -*

1. Tesis/Disertasi/Laporan Kertas Projek ini adalah hak milik Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris.  
*The Thesis/Dissertation/Project Paper is the property of Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris.*
2. Perpustakaan Tuanku Bainun dibenarkan membuat Salinan untuk tujuan rujukan dan pendidikan.  
*Tuanku Bainun Library has the right to make copies for the purpose of reference and education.*
3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan Tesis/Disertasi/Laporan Kertas Projek ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara Institusi Pengajian Tinggi.  
*The library has the right to make copies of the thesis/Dissertation/Project Paper for academic exchange.*
4. Sila tandakan (✓) bagi pilihan kategori di bawah / Please tick (✓) for category below: -

	<b>AKSES TERBUKA OPEN ACCESS</b>	Saya bersetuju bahawa Tesis/Disertasi/Kertas Projek boleh diterbitkan sebagai salinan fizikal atau akses terbuka dalam talian. <i>I agree that the Thesis/Dissertation/Project Paper may be published as a physical copy or open access online.</i>
	<b>*TERHAD *LIMITED</b>	Mengandungi maklumat terhad seperti yang dinyatakan oleh organisasi/institusi di mana penyelidikan dijalankan. (Perpustakaan akan menyekat akses sehingga ____ tahun). <i>Contains restricted information as specified by the organization/institution where the research was conducted. (Library will restrict access until ____ years).</i>
✓	<b>*SULIT *CONFIDENTIAL</b>	Mengandungi maklumat sulit di bawah Akta Rahsia Rasmi 1972. <i>Contains confidential information under the Official Secrets Act 1972.</i>
	<b>EMBARGO</b>	Tesis/Disertasi/Kertas Projek ini tertakluk kepada tempoh embargo selama 2 tahun atas sebab-sebab berikut: <i>This Thesis/Dissertation/Project Paper is subject to an embargo period of 2 years for the following reasons:</i>

\*Nota / \*Notes:

- i. Jika tiada pilihan yang ditandai, pilihan pertama akan dilaksanakan secara automatik.  
*If no option is marked, the first option will be automatically implemented.*
- ii. Jika Tesis/Disertasi/Kertas Projek ini dikategorikan sebagai **SULIT** atau **TERHAD**, sila lampirkan surat dari pihak berkuasa/organisasi yang berkaitan dengan menyatakan alasan dan tempoh tesis ini perlu diklasifikasikan sebagai **TERHAD** atau **SULIT**. / *If the Thesis/Dissertation/Project Paper is categorized as **CONFIDENTIAL** or **LIMITED**, kindly attach a letter from the relevant authority/organization stating the reason and duration for the thesis should be classified as **LIMITED** or **CONFIDENTIAL**.*

(Tandatangan Pelajar/ Signature)

(Tandatangan Penjelaja / Signature of Supervisor  
& (Nama & Cop Rasmi / Name & Official Stamp)

Tarikh: 17 OCTOBER 2025

Tarikh: 17 OCTOBER 2025

**DR. MUHAMMAD AQIL ARYAN WONG**  
Associate Professor  
Department of Biology  
Faculty of Science and Mathematics  
Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, all thanks to Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Kind for His blessings and compassion towards the completion of this study. Through the trials and tribulations set in my journey, He has strengthened my spirit and blessed me with a lesson of patience that will remain forever in my life. Truthfully, without Him, I am indeed lost. I am so grateful for the opportunity given by MPOB and Dr Nurniwalis to be her student and carry out the project in such a prestigious research institution. There were a lot of moments that I recalled where Dr Nonie believed in me when I had no faith in myself at all. That is something that I will hold dear to my heart forever. Many thanks to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr Muhammad Aqil Aryan Wong for his supervision and help during the period of this study. His suggestions were valuable and I am indebted to him. I would also like to thank Madam Zatty Adura Mat Said for all her advice on this project other than the tips and tricks on manoeuvring postgraduate life. She is a good mentor and always available to support me emotionally. I am also extremely lucky to call her my friend. Honourable mentions to Ts Dr Ummirul Mukminin Kahar and Ts Dr Iffah Izzati who took me under their wing and helped me to understand protein purification better than I could ever done myself. Not to forget, the Research Gate community that tirelessly answering and explaining my inquiries with nothing in return. May they score significant grants, yield good results, and produce many high-impact papers throughout their research career. I would also like to acknowledge the Director General of Malaysian Palm Oil Board (MPOB) for the permission to conduct this study. Necessarily, thank you to all members of Gene Function Group, Dr Zubaidah Ramli, Madam Wan Nur Syuhada, Madam Aminah Shuib, Madam Anita Jaafar, Madam Norapizah Baser, Mr Khairul Anuar Rahman and Mr Mahazir Jaafar for their help and the contentment that they brought to my heart. Also, a million thanks to my good friends, Hidayah, Munirah and Hafizah for being a good listener and motivating me to never give up. I believe that this chapter of my life is better with them in it. Most importantly, I would like to express my utmost appreciation to my parents for their love and support, emotionally and financially during this challenging journey. Their prayers have helped me to go through the rough patches of my life and their love is the number one reason for me to keep going. Thank you for being so understanding and supportive of everything that I do. I love you Ayah and Ibu.



## ABSTRACT

*FLL1* gene encodes an endogenous oil palm lipase that influence the innate quality of palm oil. While the genetic information is well-documented, FLL1 characteristics at the protein level remained unexplored. Therefore, this study aims to express and characterize recombinant protein FLL1 to understand its physical and chemical properties. FLL1 was efficiently expressed in Rosetta™ (DE3) pLysS competent cells using pFLL1-pGEX6P2 at 37 °C with 0.4 mM IPTG at A<sub>660</sub> 0.9. A purification method for producing high-purity FLL1 protein was established through affinity and ion exchange chromatography, suitable for downstream characterization and application. FLL1 enzyme activity peaked at 40 °C, and demonstrated its thermal stability by retaining 50 % of its activity after four hours of incubation at a similar temperature. FLL1 functioned best at pH 7 (PBS buffer) and remained highly stable at pH 8 (PBS and Tris-HCl buffers). Low LogP<sub>o/w</sub> organic solvents, especially isopropanol, significantly enhanced FLL1 activity, expanding its potential for organic solvent-rich applications. Cofactor analysis showed that Mn<sup>2+</sup> and Ca<sup>2+</sup> are good enhancers for FLL1 activity, while Ni<sup>2+</sup> and Cu<sup>2+</sup> showed a promising inhibitory effect, thus demonstrating the ability of certain metal ions to regulate FLL1 activity. FLL1 exhibited strong hydrolysis activity towards all substrates tested, especially palm oil, short and long fatty acid chains, highlighting its versatility for lipid processing purposes. In conclusion, FLL1 protein research findings benefit palm oil quality improvement efforts by advancing the research on oil palm lipase. The broad substrate range, metal ion dependency, and stability in organic solvents make this enzyme a promising candidate as a biocatalyst in various industries. Therefore, this study has provided a solid foundation for future FLL1 protein engineering, by contributing valuable insights into the biochemical properties of the enzyme.



## PENGKESPRESAN DAN PENCIRIAN LIPASE DARIPADA KELAPA SAWIT (*ELAEIS GUINEENSIS*)

### ABSTRAK

Gen *FLL1* mengkod lipase endogen sawit yang mempengaruhi kualiti intrinsik minyak sawit. Walaupun maklumat genetiknya telah didokumentasikan dengan baik, ciri-ciri *FLL1* pada peringkat protein masih belum diterokai sepenuhnya. Oleh itu, kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengekspres dan mencirikan protein rekombinan *FLL1* bagi memahami sifat fizikal dan kimianya. *FLL1* berjaya diekspres secara efisien dalam sel kompeten *Rosetta™(DE3) pLysS* menggunakan p*FLL1*-pGEX6P2 pada 37 °C dengan 0.4 mM IPTG pada  $A_{660}$  0.9. Kaedah pemurnian protein *FLL1* berketulenan tinggi telah dibangunkan melalui kromatografi keafinan dan pertukaran ion, menjadikannya sesuai untuk pencirian lanjutan dan aplikasi dalam industri. Aktiviti enzim *FLL1* paling tinggi pada suhu 40 °C, serta menunjukkan kestabilan suhu yang baik dengan mengekalkan 50 % aktivitinya selepas empat jam dieram pada suhu tersebut. *FLL1* menunjukkan paling optimum pada pH 7 dalam larutan penimbal PBS dan kekal sangat stabil pada pH 8 dalam larutan penimbal PBS serta Tris-HCl, menonjolkan keupayaannya dalam pelbagai julat pH. Selain itu, pelarut organik dengan  $\text{LogP}_{o/w}$  rendah, khususnya isopropanol, meningkatkan aktiviti *FLL1* seterusnya memperluaskan potensinya dalam aplikasi persekitaran kaya pelarut organik. Analisis kofaktor menunjukkan bahawa  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$  dan  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  bertindak sebagai penggalak aktiviti *FLL1*, manakala  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$  dan  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  bertindak sebagai perencat aktiviti yang berkesan lantas menunjukkan kemampuan ion logam tertentu untuk mengawal aktiviti *FLL1*. Kajian ke atas spesifisiti substrat pula menunjukkan aktiviti hidrolisis yang tinggi terhadap semua substrat yang diuji, terutamanya minyak sawit, serta rantai asid lemak pendek dan panjang lalu menyerlahkan kepelbagaian aplikasi enzim ini dalam pemprosesan lipid. Secara keseluruhannya, hasil kajian protein *FLL1* ini dapat menyokong usaha meningkatkan kualiti minyak sawit dengan memajukan penyelidikan mengenai lipase kelapa sawit. Julat substrat yang luas, kemampuan dimanipulasi oleh ion logam, dan kestabilan dalam pelarut organik menjadikan enzim ini calon biokatalis yang berpotensi tinggi dalam pelbagai industri. Justeru itu, kajian ini telah memberikan asas yang kukuh untuk kejuruteraan protein *FLL1* pada masa hadapan, dengan menyumbang kepada pemahaman mendalam mengenai sifat biokimia enzim ini.



## CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>DECLARATION OF ORIGINAL WORK</b>	i
<b>DECLARATION OF DISSERTATION</b>	ii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iv
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	v
<b>CONTENTS</b>	vi
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xiv
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xx
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xxiv
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	1
1.1 Research background	1
1.2 Problem statements	5
1.3 Research objectives	6
1.4 Research questions	7
1.5 Research limitations	7
1.6 Summary	8
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	8
2.1 Description of oil palm	9
2.1.1 Oil palm cultivation	9

2.1.2	Application of oil palm and its derivatives	10
2.2	Introduction of lipase	12
2.2.1	The catalytic triad of lipase	13
2.2.2	Lipase hydrolysis reaction	15
2.3	Sources of lipase	18
2.3.1	Microbial lipase	18
2.3.2	Animal lipase	20
2.3.3	Plant lipase	21
2.4	Applications of lipase	22
2.4.1	Lipase roles in edible application	23
2.4.2	Lipase roles in inedible application	29
2.4.3	Strategy to promote lipase application	34
2.5	Oil palm lipases	34
2.6	Protein expression	37
2.6.1	Vector plasmid	37
2.6.2	Expression system host	40
2.7	Protein purification	43
2.7.1	Affinity chromatography	44
2.7.2	Ion exchange chromatography	45
2.7.3	Size exclusion chromatography	47
2.7.4	Hydrophobic interaction chromatography	48
2.7.5	Protein purification strategy using ClpP (Capture, Intermediate purification, Polishing)	49

2.8	Lipase assay to measure enzyme activity	49
2.8.1	Qualitative lipase assay	50
2.8.2	Quantitative lipase assay	51
2.9	Protein characterization	52
2.9.1	Sequence analysis for protein characterization	52
2.9.2	Functional characterization	53

### CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY 56

3.1	Introduction	56
3.2	Taxonomic analysis of <i>Elaeis guineensis</i>	57
3.3	FLL1 <i>in-silico</i> analysis	58
3.3.1	<i>FLL1</i> nucleotide sequence analysis	58
3.3.2	<i>FLL1</i> amino acid sequence analysis	61
3.4	Total RNA isolation	62
3.4.1	Plant material	62
3.4.2	Total RNA isolation	62
3.4.3	UV-Vis spectrophotometry analysis	63
3.4.4	Agarose gel electrophoresis analysis	63
3.5	cDNA synthesis	64
3.6	Vector construction of <i>FLL1</i> gene	65
3.6.1	<i>FLL1</i> cloning in pET28a(+)	65
3.6.2	<i>FLL1</i> cloning using Champion™ pET161 Gateway™	70
3.6.3	Gene synthesis of <i>FLL1</i> DNA in pGEX6P2 vector	75
3.7	Expression of <i>FLL1</i> extracellular protein	77
3.7.1	Preparation of the overnight culture	78

3.7.2	Preparation of the expression culture	78
3.7.3	Effect of temperature to FLL1 protein expression	78
3.7.4	Soluble protein harvest	79
3.7.5	Effect of different concentration of Isopropyl $\beta$ -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) inducer to FLL1 protein expression	79
3.7.6	Effect of protein induction at different bacterial optical density, $A_{600}$ to FLL1 protein expression	80
3.8	<i>p</i> NPP lipase assay	80
3.9	Protein content determination	81
3.10	Sodium dodecyl polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS -PAGE) analysis	82
3.11	FLL1 crude protein production	82
3.12	Rhodamine B plate assay	83
3.13	Protein purification	83
3.13.1	Affinity chromatography	83
3.13.2	Analysis of the purification step	84
3.13.3	Protein identification	85
3.13.4	Protein dialysis	87
3.13.5	Ion exchange chromatography	87
3.14	Protein concentration	88
3.15	Protein homogeneity analysis	88
3.16	Zymogram of purified sample	89
3.17	FLL1 characterization using physical approach	89

3.17.1	Effect of temperature on FLL1 activity	90
3.17.2	Effect of temperature on FLL1 secondary structure	90
3.17.3	Effect of temperature on FLL1 stability	90
3.17.4	Effect of temperature on FLL1 half-life	91
3.17.4	Effect of temperature on FLL1 denaturation point	91
3.18	FLL1 characterization using chemical approach	92
3.18.1	Effect of pH on FLL1 activity	92
3.18.2	Effect of pH on FLL1 stability	92
3.18.3	Effect of organic solvent on FLL1 activity	93
3.18.4	Effect of metal ion of FLL1 activity	94
3.18.5	Effect of substrate on FLL1 activity	94

## CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 96

4.1	<i>Elaeis guineensis</i> lineage	96
4.2	<i>FLL1</i> gene structure	97
4.3	FLL1 protein conserved region	98
4.4	Pairwise alignment tree of <i>FLL1</i> gene	100
4.5	Multiple sequence alignment of FLL1 protein	101
4.6	<i>In-silico</i> characterization of FLL1 <i>via</i> sequence analysis	104
4.6.1	FLL1 physicochemical characters	104
4.6.2	FLL1 relationship with water	108
4.7	Total RNA isolation from mesocarp tissue	111
4.8	FLL1 heterologous recombinant protein production	113
4.8.1	Cloning of <i>pFLL1-pET28a(+)</i> vector plasmid	114
4.8.2	Cloning of <i>pFLL1-pET161-DEST</i> vector plasmid	116

4.8.3	Protein expression using <i>pFLL1-pET161-DEST</i> vector plasmid	118
4.8.4	Rare codon sites in FLL1 protein sequence	121
4.8.5	Codon optimization of FLL1 nucleic acid sequence	129
4.8.6	Cloning of <i>pFLL1-pGEX6P2</i> vector plasmid	127
4.8.7	Protein expression using of <i>pFLL1-pGEX6P2</i> vector plasmid	130
4.8.8	<i>pFLL1-pGEX6P2</i> vector plasmid for FLL1 protein expression	134
4.8.9	Effect of detergent on FLL1 crude protein harvest	136
4.9	FLL1 protein purification	138
4.9.1	FLL1 protein purification <i>via</i> affinity chromatography	138
4.9.2	FLL1 protein identification	141
4.9.3	FLL1 protein purification <i>via</i> ion exchange (IEX) chromatography	145
4.9.4	Liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis on FLL1 protein fraction	147
4.9.5	Summary of FLL1 purification process	148
4.9.6	Homogeneity and purity analysis on pure FLL1 fraction	151
4.10	Characterization of FLL1 protein	152
4.10.1	Relationship of temperature and FLL1 protein	152
4.10.2	Relationship of FLL1 protein and pH	165
4.10.3	Effect of organic solvent on FLL1 protein activity	169
4.10.4	Effect of metal ion on FLL1 protein activity	172

4.10.5 Effect of substrate on FLL1 protein activity	175
<b>CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION</b>	181
5.1 Result summary	181
5.2 Future work and recommendation	182
<b>REFERENCES</b>	183
<b>APPENDICES</b>	204

## LIST OF TABLES

Table No.		Page
2.1	<i>E. coli</i> strain and their specialized applications (Rossano & Ceccarelli, 2014; Schütz <i>et al.</i> , 2023)	43
3.1	In-silico analysis conducted on FLL1 gene and protein sequence	59
3.2	Buffer and their buffering range as used in FLL1 pH study	93
4.1	Summary of FLL1 heterologous recombinant protein optimization efforts and findings	113
4.2	Purification of FLL1 <i>via</i> affinity chromatography and ion exchange chromatography. AC and IEX represents affinity chromatography and ion exchange chromatography, respectively	149
4.3	The percentage of changes of FLL1 secondary structure upon exposure to different temperature	156

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure No.</b>		<b>Page</b>
2.1	Visualization of lipase lid when in contact with specific and non-specific substrate and the effect to its conformation (Patnala <i>et al.</i> , 2016)	15
2.2	Conversion of triglyceride to diglyceride and monoglyceride by lipase hydrolysis reaction (Nizam & Mahmud, 2021)	16
2.3	Double nucleophilic attack of lipase to perform hydrolysis or esterification (Girelli & Chiappini, 2023)	17
2.4	The labelled anatomy of an expression vector (Rosano & Ceccarelli, 2014)	38
2.5	The graphical guide to choose the correct exchanger for ion exchange chromatography (Duong-Ly & Gabelli, 2014)	47
3.1	The overview of employed methodology in this research	57
3.2	pET28a(+) vector map. The vector contains a T7 promoter for high-level protein expression in <i>E. coli</i> , a kanamycin resistant trait (KanR), an fl origin of replication (fl Ori), two His tags for protein purification, thrombin recognition site for tag removal, and multiple restriction sites within the multiple cloning site (MCS) for cloning	67
3.3	pCR <sup>TM</sup> 8/GW/TOPO <sup>TM</sup> vector map. The vector contains a Puc origin of replication, a recombination site bounded by <i>attL</i> and <i>attL2</i> , TOPO <sup>®</sup> cloning site with <i>EcoR1</i> restriction sites, and a spectinomycin resistance trait (SpecR)	72
3.4	pET161-DEST vector map. The vector contains a bacterial origin of replication (PBR322 ori), a T7 promoter for high-level protein expression in <i>E. coli</i> , a recombination site bounded by <i>attR1</i> and <i>attR2</i> , cloning site with <i>EcoR1</i> restriction sites, a Lumio protein tag, a His-tag, a chloramphenicol and an ampicillin resistant trait	75
3.5	pGEX6P2 vector map. The vector contains a bacterial origin of replication (PBR322 ori), a GST-tag, preScission protease recognition site for tag removal, a multiple restriction sites within the multiple cloning site (MCS) for cloning, and an ampicillin resistant trait	76

- 4.1 The gene structure of *FLL1* in chromosome three of EG5 oil palm genome. E and I represents exons and introns, respectively 98
- 4.2 The annotated amino acid sequence of FLL1. Green font marks the ABH domain, yellow highlights the lipase class three domain, bold in black fonts indicate the consensus motif, bold in red font denotes the catalytic triad, and red underlined residues is the lid structure 99
- 4.3 Pairwise alignment of FLL1 with hits of 80 % similarity. The maximum sequence differences were visualised as the 0.08 scale 100
- 4.4 Multiple sequence alignment of FLL1 (Putative FLL1), *Elaeis guineensis* uncharacterized proteins (NP\_001304804.1, XP\_010917337.1 and XP\_010917339.1), and *Phoenix dactylifera* triacylglycerol lipase OBL1-like (XP\_008806588.1). Red underline, yellow highlight and the bolded sequence represents the lid region, lipase consensus sequence and the catalytic triad, respectively 102
- 4.5 FLL1 secondary structure prediction by PSIPRED. The red box represents FLL1 catalytic site 107
- 4.6 The Kyte and Doolittle plot for FLL1 hydrophobicity analysis. The x-axis and y-axis represent the position of amino acid and the score, respectively. A more positive score indicates hydrophobicity while a more negative score indicates hydrophilicity of the protein. The result window size was set to 21. The region in the red box was the predicted location of the catalytic triad 109
- 4.7 The topology prediction for FLL1 *via* PSIPRED. The blue arrow, pink region, green region, grey region and yellow structure represent the movement of the protein in the cell, cytoplasmic region, extracellular region, phospholipid bilayer and the helices, respectively 111
- 4.8 The gel electrophoresis analysis of 3 µg RNA isolated from oil palm mesocarp tissue 15 WAA. Lane 1 and 2 represent the total RNA and 1X RiboRuler High Range RNA Ladder (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), respectively 112
- 4.9 The gel electrophoresis analysis of amplified FLL1 (1.45 kb). Lane 1 and 2 represents 1X Gene Ruler DNA Ladder Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) and the amplified FLL1 (40 ng), respectively 114
- 4.10 The gel electrophoresis analysis of *pFLL1-pET28a(+)* vector plasmid isolated from Rosetta De3 pLysS host digested with *EcoRI* and *BamHI*. Lane 1 and 2 represents the 1X Gene Ruler DNA Ladder Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) and the digested vector plasmid (20 ng), respectively 115

- 4.11 The gel electrophoresis analysis of amplified FLL1 (1.45 kb). Lane 1 and 2 represents the 1X Gene Ruler DNA Ladder Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) and the digested vector plasmid (20 ng), respectively 117
- 4.12 The gel electrophoresis analysis of digested *pFLL1-pET161-DEST* vector plasmid isolated from Rosetta De3 pLysS host digested with *EcoR1*. Lane 1 and 2 represents the 1X Gene Ruler DNA Ladder Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) and the digested vector plasmid (50 ng), respectively 118
- 4.13 The preliminary test of FLL1 protein expression in pET161 vector at 37 °C using varying concentration of IPTG. Statistical significance: protein content ( $p= 0.33$ ), enzyme activity ( $p= 0.02$ ). The error bars represent the standard deviation of means ( $n= 3$ ). The absence of error bar indicates that the errors are smaller than the symbols 120
- 4.14 The preliminary test of FLL1 protein expression in pET161 vector at 20 °C using varying concentration of IPTG. Statistical significance: protein content ( $p= 0.33$ ), enzyme activity ( $p= 0.26$ ). The error bars represent the standard deviation of means ( $n= 3$ ). The absence of error bar indicates that the errors are smaller than the symbols 121
- 4.15 Rare codons sites encoded for arginine in FLL1 amino acid sequence. Red 'R' represents the rare codon 122
- 4.16 The codon adaptation index (CAI) score of FLL1. (a) The CAI of the original nucleotide sequence. (b) The CAI of the synthesised gene 124
- 4.17 The frequency of optimal codons (FOP) of FLL1. (a) The FOP of the original nucleotide sequence. (b) The FOP of the synthesised gene 125
- 4.18 The comparison of the original nucleic acid of FLL1 (top sequence) and the optimized sequence (bottom sequence). The red box represents the location of rare codon 126
- 4.19 Restriction digestion of *pFLL1-pGEX6P2* plasmid isolated from Rosetta De3 pLysS host digested with *EcoR1* and *BamH1*. Lane 1 and 2 represents the 1X Gene Ruler DNA Ladder Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) and the digested vector plasmid (20 ng), respectively 129
- 4.20 The analysis on the best induction temperature for FLL1. Statistical significance: protein content ( $p= 0.006$ ), enzyme activity ( $p= 0.002$ ). The error bars represent the standard deviation of means ( $n= 3$ ). The absence of error bar indicates that the errors are smaller than the symbols 131

- 4.21 The analysis on the IPTG concentration for FLL1 Statistical significance: protein content ( $p= 0.98$ ), enzyme activity ( $p= 0.18$ ). The error bars represent the standard deviation of means ( $n= 3$ ). The absence of error bar indicates that the errors are smaller than the symbols 132
- 4.22 The analysis on the effect of different optical density to FLL1 production. Statistical significance: protein content ( $p< 0.01$ ), enzyme activity ( $p< 0.01$ ). The error bars represent the standard deviation of means ( $n= 3$ ). The absence of error bar indicates that the errors are smaller than the symbols 134
- 4.23 Rhodamine B plate assay on crude FLL1. The orangey fluorescent zone illustrated the hydrolytic activity of FLL1 135
- 4.24 SDS-PAGE analysis on the crude FLL1 protein. Lane 1 and 2 represents the 1X Precision Plus Protein™ Kaleidoscope Prestained Protein Standards and the crude FLL1 protein (24  $\mu\text{g}$ ), respectively 136
- 4.25 SDS-PAGE comparison on the fraction of affinity purification with and without detergent in the buffer. Lane 1, 2 and 3 represents the 1X Precision Plus Protein™ Kaleidoscope Prestained Protein Standards, FLL1 protein crude lysed with 0.1 % Triton X-100 in the buffer (34  $\mu\text{g}$ ), and FLL1 protein crude lysed with no Triton X-100 in the buffer (24  $\mu\text{g}$ ), respectively 137
- 4.26 Chromatogram of affinity chromatography of FLL1 with labelled elements in different concentration of GSH from 0 to 10 mM. Region labelled FT, W1 to W20 and E1 to E25 represents the flow through post sample injection, flow through post washing steps the eluant from the elution step, respectively 140
- 4.27 Protein identification of FLL1 affinity fraction. The highlighted region was the peptide sequence matched to the putative FLL1 sequence 143
- 4.28 Summary of GST tag removal using PreScission Protease. Lane 1, 2, 3 and 4 represent the 1X Precision Plus Protein™ Kaleidoscope Prestained Protein Standards (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA), the FLL1 crude protein (50  $\mu\text{g}$ ), the tagged FLL1 (1  $\mu\text{g}$ ), the cleaved FLL1 (0.30  $\mu\text{g}$ ), and the cleaved GST tag (0.20  $\mu\text{g}$ ), respectively 144
- 4.29 Chromatogram of ion exchange chromatography of FLL1 with labelled elements in different concentration of NaCl from 0 to 1.0 M. Region labelled FT1 to FT3, W1 to W21 and E1 to E50 represents the flow through post sample injection, flow through post washing steps the eluant from the elution step, respectively 146

- 4.30 Protein identification of FLL1 ion exchange fraction. The highlighted region was the peptide sequence matched to the putative FLL1 sequence 148
- 4.31 SDS-PAGE of FLL1 after each purification step. Lane 1, 2, 3 and 4 represents 1X Precision Plus Protein™ Kaleidoscope Prestained Protein Standards (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA), crude protein (25 μL), fraction E1 from affinity chromatography (25 μL), and fraction E12 from ion exchange chromatography (25 μL), respectively 150
- 4.32 Native-PAGE on purified FLL 151
- 4.33 Zymogram analysis on FLL1 from native-PAGE. The box traced the PAGE gel outline 152
- 4.34 The effect of temperature on FLL1 activity. Statistical significance of enzyme activity,  $p < 0.01$ . The error bars represent the standard deviation of means ( $n = 3$ ). The absence of error bar indicates that the errors are smaller than the symbols 154
- 4.35 The effect of temperature on FLL1 activity. Relative activities were presented as comparison to the test on the optimal temperature of FLL1, respectively. Statistical significance of enzyme activity,  $p = 0.41$ . The error bars represent the standard deviation of means ( $n = 3$ ). The absence of error bar indicates that the errors are smaller than the symbols 159
- 4.36 The effect of exposure at 40 °C on FLL1 activity. Relative activities were presented as comparison to the unincubated FLL1. Statistical significance of enzyme activity,  $p < 0.01$ . The error bars represent the standard deviation of means ( $n = 3$ ). The absence of error bar indicates that the errors are smaller than the symbols 160
- 4.37 The thermogram for melting point analysis conducted on FLL1 using DSC 163
- 4.38 The effect of pH on FLL1 activity. Relative activities were presented as comparison to FLL1 activity in Tris HCl pH 8.00. Statistical significance of enzyme activity,  $p < 0.01$ . The error bars represent the standard deviation of means ( $n = 3$ ). The absence of error bar indicates that the errors are smaller than the symbols 166
- 4.39 The effect of pH on FLL1 activity. Relative activities were presented as comparison to the test on the optimal temperature of FLL1, respectively. Statistical significance of enzyme activity,  $p < 0.01$ . The error bars represent the standard deviation of means ( $n = 3$ ). The absence of error bar indicates that the errors are smaller than the symbols 168

- 4.40 The effect of organic solvent towards the relative activity of FLL1 as compared to control (FLL1 activity in pNPP assay without any additional solvent). Statistical significance of enzyme activity,  $p < 0.01$ . The error bars represent the standard deviation of means ( $n = 3$ ). The absence of error bar indicates that the errors are smaller than the symbols 171
- 4.41 The effect of tested metal ion towards the relative activity of FLL1 as compared to control (FLL1 activity in pNPP assay without any metal ion). Statistical significance: type of metal ion ( $p = 0.08$ ), concentration of metal ion ( $p = 0.75$ ). The error bars represent the standard deviation of means ( $n = 3$ ). The absence of error bar indicates that the errors are smaller than the symbols 173
- 4.42 The effect of organic substrate on FLL1 activity. Relative activities were presented as comparison to the test on the optimal temperature of FLL1, respectively. Statistical significance of enzyme activity,  $p = 0.038$ . The error bars represent the standard deviation of means ( $n = 3$ ). The absence of error bar indicates that the errors are smaller than the symbols 176
- 4.43 Substrate preference analysis of FLL1. Statistical significance of enzyme activity,  $p < 0.01$ . The error bars represent the standard deviation of means ( $n = 3$ ). The absence of error bar indicates that the errors are smaller than the symbols 178
- 4.44 The relative activity of FLL1 on synthetic substrate when compared against standard (C:16). Error bars indicate the standard deviation of means ( $n = 3$ ). The absence of error bar indicates that the errors were smaller than the symbols 179

## LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

$\mu\text{g}$	Microgram
$\mu\text{L}$	Microlitre
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	Degree Celsius
A	Alanine
AU	Absorbance unit
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
$A_{600}$	Absorbance at 600 nm
B	Aspartic acid or Asparagine
BSA	Bovine serum albumin
bp	Base pair
C	Cysteine
cDNA	Complimentary deoxyribonucleic acid
C -terminal	Carboxyl terminal
D	Aspartic acid
dh <sub>2</sub> O	Distilled water
DMSO	Dimethyl sulfoxide
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
dNTP	Deoxynucleotide triphosphate
DTT	Dithiothreitol
E	Glutamic acid
<i>E. coli</i>	<i>Escherichia coli</i>

EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
F	Phenylalanine
G	Glycine
g	Gram
g/mol	Gram per mole
GSH	Reduced glutathione
GST	Glutathione transferases
H	Histidine
I	Isoleucine
IPTG	Isopropyl $\beta$ -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside
J	Leucine or isoleucine
K	Lysine
Kb	Kilobase
L	Leucine
LogP	Logarithm of the partition coefficient
M	Methionine
M	Molar
mAU	Milli absorbance unit
mg/mL	Milligram per millilitre
min	Minutes
mL	Millilitre
mM	Millimolar
MW	Molecular weight
N	Asparagine
NaCl	Sodium chloride

ng	Nanogram
nm	Nanometre
N -terminal	Amino terminus
HCl	Hydrochloric acid
kb	Kilobase
kDa	KiloDalton
O	Pyrrolysine
OD	Optical density
P	Proline
PES	Polyethersulfone
PBS	Phosphate buffered saline
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
pH	Potential of hydrogen
<i>p</i> NPP	<i>p</i> -Nitrophenyl palmitate
Q	Glutamine
R	Arginine
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
rpm	Rotation per minute
S	Serine
s	Second
T	Threonine
TAE	Tris -acetate -EDTA
TEMED	N, N, N', N' -Tetramethylethylenediamine
U	Selenocysteine
U	Unit

UV	Ultraviolet
U/mL	Unit per millilitre
w/v	Weight per volume
V	Volt
V	Valine
v/v	Volume per volume
W	Tryptophan
w/w	Weight per weight
X	Any amino acid
Y	Tyrosine
Z	Glutamic acid or Glutamine

## LIST OF APPENDICES

- A Recipe of Luria-Bertani (LB) Lennox media
- B *p*-Nitrophenol standard for *p*NPP lipase activity assay
- C Bovine serum albumin (BSA) standard for protein content determination
- D Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) related information
- E Buffer recipe
- F Fatty acid standard for copper colorimetry lipase assay
- G Nucleic acid sequence of *fl11* and its translation to protein
- H Sequencing result of *pFLL1-pET161-DEST*
- I Sequencing result of *pFLL1-pGEX6P2* vector plasmid
- J SDS-PAGE result of FLL1 affinity purification



## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Research background

Oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) is a very common plant in Malaysia. However, the plant is not native but introduced into the country by former British colony as a cash crop (Norhidayu et al., 2017; Murphy *et al.*, 2021). Malaysia is perfect for oil palm to thrive in due to its tropical climate and regular rainfall throughout the year (Murphy *et al.*, 2021). Therefore, Malaysia continues to propagate oil palm systemically as an industrial monoculture (Murphy *et al.*, 2021; Ferranti & Velotto, 2023). From the 20<sup>th</sup> century onwards, larger scale plantations were seen in Malaysia as the result of multiple government initiatives due to the promising returns exhibited by the crop (Murphy *et al.*, 2021).

In 2023, Malaysia harvested 18.55 million tonnes of crude palm oil which yield a satisfying revenue of RM 94.95 billion (Parveez, *et al.*, 2024). Therefore, in 2023, Malaysia has been internationally recognised with the exceptional reputation as the





main exporter of palm oil, by contributing 34.30 % of the global total trade value (Parveez *et al.*, 2024). With an estimation of over a million employees in this industry, oil palm is proven to be an extremely significant economic crop for Malaysia and its citizen (Parveez *et al.*, 2024).

The most valuable part of an oil palm is the fruit as it can produce oil, namely palm oil all year round. An oil palm fruit bunch weighs roughly 25 kg and contains nearly 3000 individual fruitlets (Raj *et al.*, 2021). Instead of sourcing the oil only from the nut to yield crude kernel palm oil, palm oil can also be extracted from the mesocarp to produce crude palm oil that amounted to approximately 90 % of the total crude oil (Maheshwari & Kovalchuk, 2016). Therefore, oil palm is able to generate the highest crude oil yield with minimal land needed in comparison to other oil producing crop like soybean and rapeseed (Murphy *et al.*, 2021).

Palm oil quality is threatened by the endogenous lipase present in the fruit (Morcillo *et al.*, 2013). Lipase is a functional protein well reported in many hierarchical levels of life which highlights its importance (Calder, 2015). In microbes, animals and plants, lipase is responsible for lipid metabolism which results in the production of energy for the organisms (Calder, 2016). Unfortunately, the hydrolytic reaction will produce free fatty acid that could acidify the natural oil which affects the overall quality for downstream use (Calder, 2016). Therefore, lipase is abhorred by oil crop breeders to ensure a good oil yield. Along the years, many studies were conducted *via* conventional breeding and various molecular techniques to minimize the detrimental effect of lipase





towards the oil by developing an elite low lipase line (Murphy *et al.*, 2021; Weckx *et al.*, 2019).

It was suggested that to properly mitigate the negative effect of endogenous lipase to the oil quality, a proper inspection *via* enzyme characterization must be done (Robinson, 2015). The most recent publication for characterised purified oil palm lipase was by Mohd Din *et al.* (2021) through the characterization of recombinant LIP2 from oil palm mesocarp. Previously, a study on *EgGDSL* lipase gene was conducted via ectopic expression in *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotype Col-0 which associated the role of *EgGDSL* in altering palm oil quality through fatty acid liberation (Zhang *et al.*, 2018). The researchers also managed to deduce the peak *EgGDSL* expression in the tissue which is at the third month (12<sup>th</sup> weeks after anthesis, WAA). Another gene expression study was conducted with *FLL1* lipase gene isolated from the mesocarp of oil palm fruit (Nurniwalis *et al.*, 2015). The researchers discovered that *FLL1* exhibited a huge influence on the oil quality (Nurniwalis *et al.*, 2015). Considering the results and the possible impact of the gene towards maintaining good oil quality, *FLL1* lipase was chosen as the target protein in this study.

The use of heterologous recombinant protein approach is frequent in the study of target proteins that are affected by challenging natural production and isolation (Contesini *et al.*, 2020). The technique involves the insertion of target gene into a vector backbone prior to expression in selected host (Ceccarelli, 2014). The common host is *E. coli* for their simplicity (Gomes *et al.*, 2018). Nowadays, scientists have engineered different strain of *E. coli* to provide the aid needed to maximise target protein





production (Gomes *et al.*, 2018). Extensive information on the gene using prediction tools available can detect any arising issues that can be tackled by observing the host used. Therefore, choosing the correct vector backbone and the expression host are important to determine the flow of the experiment (Ceccarelli, 2014). However, optimization of the expression parameter experimentally can be draining and extremely time consuming thus the use of modern technology *via* gene synthesis can be helpful to the researchers. Following the transformation, target protein must be over-expressed *via* the optimization of induction parameters.

The crude of a heterologously expressed protein exists with a myriad of other protein. Therefore, target protein must be isolated *via* protein purification system of choice (Kulkarni & Bose, 2022). The method is crucial to remove the miscellaneous protein prior to target protein characterization (Kulkarni & Bose, 2022). The common method used is protein chromatography technique using the CIP which means capturing of target protein, intermediate polishing and final polishing (Cytiva Life Sciences, 2018). The purification system can be chosen based on the information gathered through *in-silico* protein profiling (Asenjo & Andrews, 2009; Smith, 2017).

Only purified protein should be used in the characterization of target protein (Scopes, 1995). This is to increase the reliability of data without the interference from the miscellaneous protein and contaminants (Scopes, 1995). There are a lot of different parameters and angle that be observed to understand the target protein and its behaviour. Nevertheless, the basic information on the heat and protein relationship other than buffer and solvent suitability were the cornerstone of proper enzyme



characterization. Additional characterization effort is valued to provide a bigger picture on FLL1 behaviour and preference. However, it is positive that the results gathered from this research will help embark a more specific approach to tackle palm oil quality concern from the enzymatic perspective.

## 1.2 Problem statements

Lipase exerts a negative effect on the palm oil quality by inducing spontaneous acidification through free fatty acid liberation during its hydrolysis (Calder, 2016). Consequently, the accumulated fatty acids leads to an undesirable taste while decreasing the commercial and nutritional value of palm oil (Sambanthamurthi *et al.*, 2000). Additionally, the affected oil is less stable for storage especially over a long period of time (Sambanthamurthi *et al.*, 2000). This has become one of the major problems facing the palm oil industry, since rancid oil is not suitable for either human consumption or industrial use (Calder, 2016). Therefore, the industry is interested in finding the effective ways of controlling lipase activity to maintain oil quality.

The effort to develop the potential solutions requires an understanding of different species of lipase present in oil palm. Previous gene expression study reveals a gene potentially controlling palm oil quality namely *FLL1* (Nurniwalis *et al.*, 2007; Nurniwalis *et al.*, 2015). However, the behaviour of *FLL1* as a functional protein is unknown. Targeted study on selected lipase research is expected to help in mitigating the negative effect of lipase towards the oil quality.



Additionally, due to the scarcity information, prevention at the root cause is limited and the potential application for oil palm lipase enzymes remain unexplored. Therefore, oil palm lipase is not applied in any manufacturing industries at all (Chandra *et al.*, 2020; Ali *et al.*, 2023), while only a few studies have looked into oil palm varieties for their special characteristics and applications (Wong *et al.*, 2016; Sambanthamurthi *et al.*, 2000).

This study will bridge the knowledge gap in characterizing the oil palm lipases to formulate specific strategy aimed at the prevention of oil quality deterioration. Eventually, the results may redefine palm oil quality management and broaden potential oil palm lipase uses in other industries.



### 1.3 Research objectives

The objectives of this study are:

1. To express the lipase gene *FLL1* from oil palm recombinantly *via* the *Escherichia coli* expression system.
2. To characterize the purified recombinant FLL1 lipase.



## 1.4 Research questions

The research questions of this study are:

1. What are the optimal expression conditions to express the lipase gene *FLL1* from oil palm recombinantly *via* the *Escherichia coli* system?
2. What are the physical and chemical profiles of the purified recombinant FLL1 lipase?

## 1.5 Research limitations

This thesis focused on the expression and characterization of lipase protein FLL1 by observing the resulting hydrolytic activity exhibited. The research scope following the objectives have been successfully covered in this dissertation. Nevertheless, the results were limited by the designated time frame of the postgraduate study period. A more extensive result can be collected if this research was not bounded by time and money.

From a technical standpoint, the absence of a suitable automated purification system in the facility hindered the progress of this study. To preface, this issue is not a major problem during the initial purification optimization stage, but had become a severe hindrance due to the laborious efforts employed and the time spent to harvest pure protein yield following a certain prerequisite concentration. Nevertheless, this research project was completed successfully by the persevering effort and passion.

## 1.6 Summary

The expression profile of *FLL1* pointed to the possibility of influencing oil quality thus chosen further studied for functional characterization. In this work, FLL1 lipase is heterologously expressed, purified, and characterized to probe the relation between lipase and oil quality. The findings offer insights to mitigate lipase-caused oil rancidity issue while moulding the future applications of FLL1.