









THE EFFECTS OF WRITTEN RETELLING STRATEGY ON READING **COMPREHENSION FOR** PRIMARY ESL **LEARNERS**







SULTAN IDRIS EDUCATION UNIVERSITY 2020





















THE EFFECTS OF WRITTEN RETELLING STRATEGY ON READING COMPREHENSION FOR PRIMARY **ESL LEARNERS**

NUR DZALIFFA RIZA BINTI RIDZWAN











DISSERTATION TO QUALIFY FOR MASTER'S DEGREE IN EDUCATION (MIXED MODE)

FACULTY OF LANGUAGES AND COMMUNICATION SULTAN IDRIS EDUCATION UNIVERSITY

2020





















Please tick (1)
Project Paper
Masters by Research
Master by Mixed Mode
PhD



INSTITUTE OF GRADUATE STUDIES DECLARATION OF ORIGINAL WORK

This declaration is made on the $\underline{15}^{th}$ day of $\underline{July}~20\underline{20}$

i. Student's Declaration:

I, NUR DZALIFFA RIZA BT RIDZWAN (M20171000926), FACULTY OF LANGUAGES AND

COMMUNICATION (PLEASE INDICATE STUDENT'S NAME, MATRIC NO. AND FACULTY) hereby declare that the work entitled The Effects of Written Retelling Strategy on Reading Comprehension for Primary

ESL Learners is my original work. I have not copied from any other students' work or from any other sources except where due reference or acknowledgement is made explicitly in the text, nor has any part OS-4506832 pustaka upst. Edu. my Kampus Sultan Abdul Jalil Shah

Destaka upst. Edu. my Destaka upst. Edu.

Nur Dzaliffa Riza Binti Ridzwan

ii. Supervisor's Declaration:

, <u>Dr. Hema Vanita a/p Kesevan</u> (SUPERVISOR'S NAME) hereby certifies that the work			
entitled The Effects of Written Retelling Strategy on Reading Comprehension for Primary ESL			
Learners (TITLE) was prepared by the above named student, and was submitted to the Institute			
of Graduate Studies as a * partial/full fulfillment for the conferment			
of <u>Master In Education - Teaching English as Second Language</u> (PLEASE INDICATE			
THE DEGREE), and the aforementioned work, to the best of my knowledge, is the said student's			
work.			
Date Dr. Hema Vanita a/p Kesevan			
Signature of the Supervisor			

















INSTITUT PENGAJIAN SISWAZAH / INSTITUTE OF GRADUATE STUDIES

BORANG PENGESAHAN PENYERAHAN TESIS/DISERTASI/LAPORAN KERTAS PROJEK DECLARATION OF THESIS/DISSERTATION/PROJECT PAPER FORM

Tajuk / Title: The Effects Of Written Retelling Strategy On Reading Comprehension

For Primary Level Learners

No. Matrik / Matric No.: M20171000926

Saya / I: Nur Dzaliffa Riza binti Ridzwan

(Nama pelajar / Student's Name)

mengaku membenarkan Tesis/Disertasi/Laporan Kertas Projek (Kedoktoran/Sarjana)* ini disimpan di Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris (Perpustakaan Tuanku Bainun) dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:-

acknowledged that Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris (Tuanku Bainun Library) reserves the right as follows:-

- 1. Tesis/Disertasi/Laporan Kertas Projek ini adalah hak milik UPSI. The thesis is the property of Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris
- 2. Perpustakaan Tuanku Bainun dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan rujukan dan

Tuanku Bainun Library has the right to make copies for the purpose of reference and research.

pustaka upstedu my n dibenarkan membuat salinan Tesis/Disertasi ini sebagai bahan pertukaran

antara Institusi Pengajian Tinggi. The Library has the right to make copies of	f the thesis for academic exchange.
Sila tandakan ($\sqrt{\ }$) bagi pilihan kategori	i di bawah / Please tick ($\sqrt{\ }$) from the categories below:-
SULIT/CONFIDENTIAL	Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub dalam Akta Rahsia Rasmi 1972. / Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972
TERHAD/RESTRICTED TIDAK TERHAD / OPEN ACCE	Mengandungi maklumat terhad yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan ini dijalankan. / Contains restricted information as specified by the organization where research was done. **ESS**
(Tandatangan Pelajar/ Signature)	(Tandatangan Penyelia / Signature of Supervisor) & (Nama & Cop Rasmi / Name & Official Stamp)
Tarikh:	a (Nama a cop Nachii / Name a chiciai clamp)

Catatan: Jika Tesis/Disertasi ini SULIT @ TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa/organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh laporan ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT dan TERHAD.

Notes: If the thesis is CONFIDENTAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the related authority/organization mentioning the period of confidentiality and reasons for the said confidentiality or restriction.



4.



















ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Alhamdulillah, thank you Allah, for all the blessings that You had given me. Thank you for the ability, courage, endurance, and patience You gave me in completing this study and research.

First and foremost, I would like to express my profound gratitude to my loving husband, Husaini and children, Dina, Faris and, Faheem for providing me unfailing support and continuous encouragement throughout my study and the process of researching and writing this thesis.

I wish to express my gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Hema Vanitha d/o Kesevan for her guidance and support in writing this thesis.

Special thanks to the headmistress of SK Tarcisian Convent, Ipoh, Pn. Rosida Bt Mohd Sainee who had supported and eased my task in conducting this research.

Deepest gratitude to my family and friends for their moral support, encouragement, and ideas. Finally, to the Scholarship Department of Malaysia Education Ministry for financial support.

This accomplishment would not have been possible without them. Thank you.





















ABSTRACT

This study aimed to investigate the effects of written retelling strategy on reading comprehension skills. This study also analyses the effects of written retelling strategy on pupils' understanding of the story structure. This study was conducted in a primary school in Ipoh. This study was conducted for eight weeks. A quasi-experimental research design with pre-test and post-test was adopted to carry out the study. Pre-test and post-test questions consisting of structural comprehension questions and written retelling were used to measure the effects of written retelling strategy on the pupils' achievement in reading comprehension and their understanding of the story structure. The participants consist of forty eight Year Three pupils (N=48) who were equally distributed into experimental group and control group. An independent t-test was used to obtain the mean values and standard deviations. Mean scores of the post-test 05-4506 for both groups had resulted in significant gains made by the experimental group inburst answering structural questions for reading comprehension (M=7.96, SD=2.798). The mean difference was 2.28 compared to the control group (M=5.88, SD=3.51). The results also showed that post-test achievement was significantly higher in the overall understanding of the story structure of the experimental group (M=4.29.SD=2.16) than the control group (M=1.75, SD= 2.77) with a mean difference of 3.55. In conclusion, the written retelling strategy had notably increased the pupils' ability to relate the stories read with their previous knowledge and experiences. They were also able to retain information from the story and make better predictions, hence increased their abilities in answering the comprehension questions. An implication can be made that the written retelling strategy has improved pupils' reading comprehension and enable them to understand elements of the story structure better. The results also indicate that written retelling could be a prospective reading strategy for ESL learners.





















KESAN STRATEGI WRITTEN RETELLING- PENGULANGAN CERITA SECARA BERTULIS KE ATAS KEFAHAMAN MEMBACA KEPADA MURID-MURID SEKOLAH RENDAH YANG MEMPELAJARI BAHASA INGGERIS SEBAGAI BAHASA KEDUA

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengesan strategi penceritaan semula (Retelling strategy) dengan memberi tumpuan kepada strategi pengulangan secara bertulis (written retelling strategy) dalam meningkatkan kemahiran pemahaman membaca. Kajian ini juga menganalisis kesan strategi terhadap pemahaman murid mengenai struktur cerita. Kajian ini dilakukan di sebuah sekolah rendah di Ipoh. Reka bentuk kajian menggunakan kuasi-eksperimental dengan ujian pra dan ujian pasca. Soalan ujian pra dan ujian pasca yang terdiri daripada soalan pemahaman berbentuk struktur dan retelling secara bertulis digunakan sebagai instrumen untuk mengukur kesan strategi retelling bertulis terhadap pencapaian murid dalam pemahaman membaca dan pemahaman mereka ke atas elemen struktur cerita. Sampel kajian ini terdiri daripada dua kumpulan murid Tahun Tiga(N=48). Sampel diagihkan kepada dua kumpulan iaitu 24 orang responden kumpulan eksperimen dan 24 orang responden kumpulan kawalan. Ujian pra dilaksanakan sebelum pembelajaran dan pemudahcaraan keduadua kumpulan berlangsung. Kajian ini dilakukan selama lapan minggu yang kemudian diikuti dengan ujian pos. Ujian-t digunakan untuk memperoleh nilai min, sisihan piawai dan kepentingan kajian. Dapatan kajian menunjukkan pencapaian ujian pasca adalah lebih tinggi secara signifikan ke atas kumpulan eksperimen dalam menjawab soalan struktur untuk pemahaman membaca (M = 7.96, SD = 2.798) dengan perbezaan min 2.28 berbanding kumpulan kawalan (M = 5.88, SD = 3.51). Dapatan kajian juga menunjukkan bahawa pencapaian ujian pasca lebih tinggi secara keseluruhan dalam pemahaman elemen struktur cerita kumpulan eksperimen (M=4.29, SD=2.16) daripada kumpulan kawalan (M=1.75, SD=2.77) dengan perbezaan min 3.55. Secara kesimpulannya strategi written retelling telah meningkatkan kemampuan murid untuk mengaitkan cerita yang dibaca dengan pengetahuan dan pengalaman mereka sebelumnya. Kajian ini memberi implikasi bahawa strategi written retelling telah meningkatkan kefahaman membaca murid dan membolehkan mereka memahami elemen struktur cerita dengan lebih baik.





















CONTENTS

	Page
DECLARATION OF ORIGINAL WORK	ii
DECLARATION OF THESIS	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	vi
05-4506: CONTENTS aka.upsi.edu.my Perpustakaan Tuanku Bainun Kampus Sultan Abdul Jalil Shah PustakaTBainu	un Vii ptbup
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	XV
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
LIST OF APPENDICES	xvii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Background Of The Study	5
1.3 Problem Statement	8
1.4 Purpose Of The Study	10
1.5 Research Objectives	11
1.6 Research Questions	11
1.7 Significance Of The Study	12

















1.8	Limitations of the Study	13
1.9	Definition of Terms	14
	1.9.1 Reading Comprehension	14
	1.9.2 Retelling Strategies	14
	1.9.3 Written retelling strategy	15
	1.9.4 Story Structure	15
1.10) Summary	16
CHAPTER TW	O LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Introduction	17
2.2	Reading Comprehension	18
	2.2.1 Reading Strategies	19
	2.2.1.1 Activating Prior Knowledge/Predicting	20
05-4506832 pustaka.	2.2.1.2 Questioning aan Tuanku Bainun PustakaTBainun	20 _{ptbups}
	2.2.1.3 Visualization	20
	2.2.1.4 Monitoring, Clarifying and Fix-Up	21
	2.2.1.5 Drawing Inferences	21
	2.2.1.6 Summarizing/Retelling	21
2.3	Retelling Strategies	23
	2.3.1 Types of Retelling Strategies	24
	2.3.1.1 Oral retelling	24
	2.3.1.2 Written Retelling	25
2.4	The Steps of Written Retelling Strategy	26
2.5	The Relationship between Written Retelling and Reading Comprehension	28
2.6	The Development of a Story Structure and Comprehension	29
2.7 and	The Underlying Theories Related to Reading Comprel Written Retelling	hension 30



















	2.7.1 Th	e Cognitive Theory	30
	2.7.2 Th	e Schema Theory	31
		e Conceptual Framework of Schema and Cognitive neory With Retelling Strategy	35
2.8		Studies Of The Effects Of Written Retelling Strategy oving Reading Comprehension	38
	S	revious Studies Of The Effects Of Written Retelling trategy On Students' Understanding Of The Story tructure	42
2.9	Summar	y	44
CHAPTER TH	REE	RESEARCH METHOD	
3.1	Introduct	ion	46
3.2.	Research 1	Design	47
3.3	Sample of	of the study	50
	3.3.1	Distribution of the study sample	50
4506832 pustal 3.4	Variable	Perpustakaan Tuanku Bainun Kampus Sultan Abdul Jalil Shah PustakaTBainun	51 ^{ptbup}
	3.4.1	Independent variables	51
	3.4.2	Dependent variables	52
	3.4.3	Hypothesis	53
3.5.	Research	Instruments	58
	3.5.1	The Written Retelling Procedure	60
	3.5.2	Steps of Reading Comprehension And Written Rete Strategy	lling 65
	3	.5.2.1 Pre Reading Phase	65
	3	.5.2.2 While Reading Phase	65
		.5.2.3 Post Reading Phase	66
	3.5.3	Understanding Of Story Structure and Reading Comprehension Questions Scoring Procedure	67
3.6 Inst	ruments V	alidity and Reliability	69



















	3.6.1 Content Validity	69
	3.6.2 Face validity	71
	3.6.3 Inter Rater Reliability	73
	3.6.4 Pre Testing	75
	3.6.5 Post Test	75
	3.6.6 Pilot study	75
3.7	Data Collection Procedure	78
	3.7.1 Data Collection Table	79
3.8	Data Analysis	80
	3.8.1 Independent T-test	80
3.9	Summary	81

CHAPTER 4	RESEARCH FINDINGS	
05-4506832 pustaka.upsi.e 4.1 Intro	du.my Perpustakaan Tuanku Bainun Kampus Sultan Abdul Jalil Shah PustakaTBainun	82 ptbu
4.2 Hyp	othesis Testing	83
4.2.1 R	esearch question 1	83
4.2	2.1.1 Pre Test Scores Between Experimental and Contro of Reading Comprehension	ol 83
4.2	2.1.2 Post Test Scores Between Experimental and Control of Reading Comprehension	l Group 85
4.2.2 Re	search question 2(a)	86
4.2.2	2.1 Pre Test Between Experimental and Control Group (Understanding Of Story Structure)	Overall 87
4.2.2	2.2 Post Test Between Experimental and Control Group (Overall Understanding Of Story Structure)	89
4.2.3. Re	esearch question 2(b)	90











05-4506832 pustaka.









	4.2.3.1	Pre Test Between Experimental and Control Group (Overall Understanding Of Story Structure in terms of Setting)	93
	4.2.3.2	Post Test Between Experimental and Control Group (Overall Understanding Of Story Structure in terms of Setting)	94
	4.2.3.3	Pre Test Between Experimental and Control Group (Overall Understanding Of Story Structure in terms of Beginning)	95
	4.2.3.4	Post Test Between Experimental and Control Group (Overall Understanding Of Story Structure in terms of Beginning)	95
	4.2.3.5	Pre Test Between Experimental and Control Group (Overall Understanding Of Story Structure in terms of Middle)	96
	4.2.3.6	Post Test Between Experimental and Control Group (Overall Understanding Of Story Structure in terms of Middle)	97
) pustaka.	4.2.3.7	Pre Test Between Experimental and Control Group (Overall Understanding Of Story Structure in terms of Resolution)	97
	4.2.3.8	Post Test Between Experimental and Control Group (Overall Understanding Of Story Structure in terms of Resolution)	98
	4.2.3.9	Pre Test Between Experimental and Control Group (Overall Understanding Of Story Structure in terms of Sequence)	99
	4.2.3.10	Post Test Between Experimental and Control Group (Overall Understanding Of Story Structure in terms of Sequence)	99
4.3 Sum	mary		100

CHAPTER 5 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

5.1 Introduction 101



















5.2	Review of the Study	102
5.3	Implication and Recommendations	109
	5.3.1 Suggestions for teachers and pupils	111
	5.3.2 Suggestions for policymakers	112
5.4	Summary	113
REFERENCES		115
APPENDIC	CES	127

05-45068























LIST OF TABLES

	Table Num	ber	Page
	3.1	Distribution of Study Sample	51
	3.2	Method To Answer Research Questions	56
	3.3	Title of the story	59
	3.4	Written Retelling Procedure	62
	3.6	Rubrics for Understanding of Story Structure	68
	3.7	Rubrics for Structural Reading Comprehension	69
	3.8	Summary of I-CVI and S-CVI of the Instruments	72
05-450	683.9	Summary of Cohen's Kappa Analysis Between Two Raters	ptbups 74
	3.10	Descriptive Analysis of Pre Test and Post Test of Pilot Study	76
	3.11	Data Collection Table	79
	4.1	Pre Test and Post Test Results of Experimental and Control Group for Reading Comprehension	84
	4.1.1	Mean of Structural Reading Comprehension T-Test Score	84
	4.2	Pre Test and Post Test Results of Experimental and Control Group for Overall Understanding of Story Structure	87
	4.2.1	Mean of Understanding of Story Sructure (Written Retelling) Overall T-Test Score	88
	4.3	Pre Test and Post Test Results of Experimental Group for Elements of Story Structure	91
	4.3.1	Pre Test and Post Test Results of Control Group for Elements of Story Structure	92



















4.3.2 Mean of Understanding of Story Sructure (Written Retelling) Element T-Test Score

93





























LIST OF FIGURES

List o	of Figures/ Diagrams	Page
1.1	CEFR Targets	7
2.1	Conceptual Framework of Reading Comprehension Theories and Written Retelling	36
3.1	Research Design	47
3.2	Non randomized control group pretest-posttest design	48
3.3	Relationship between the Independent variable and Dependent variables	52





























LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CEFR Common European Framework of Reference

CVI Content Validity Index

EFL English as Foreign Language

ESL English as Second Language

IES (United States) Institute of Education Sciences

KSSR New Curriculum Standard for Primary Schools

L1 English as First Language

L2 Learning English As Second Language

LINUS Literacy and Numeracy Screening

NSE Native Speaker English anku Bainun

Overview of the Economic Assessment of Malaysia

ORS Oral Retelling Strategy

OECD

UPSR Ujian Pentaksiran Sekolah Rendah

WRS Written Retelling Strategy



















LIST OF APPENDICES

A1	Research Permission Letter from UPSI
A2	Research Confirmation Letter from SK Tarcisian Convent
B1	Expert Reviewer Profile - One
B2	Expert Reviewer Profile - Two
В3	Expert Reviewer Profile-Three
05-45068 C 21	Rater (Examiner) 1 Profile pustakaan Tuanku Bainun Kampus Sultan Abdul Jalil Shah
C2	Rater (examiner) 2 Profile
D1	Sample of Pre Test - Control Group Student
D2	Sample of Pre Test (Section A) - Control Group Student
D3	Sample of Pre Test (Section B) - Control Group Student
D4	Sample of Post Test - Control Group Student
D5	Sample of Post Test (Section A) - Control Group Student
D6	Sample of Post Test (Section B) - Control Group Student
E1	Sample of Pre Test- Experimental Group Student
E2	Sample of Pre Test (Section A) - Experimental Group Student















E3 Sample of Pre Test (Section B) - Experimental Group Student E4 Sample of Post Test - Experimental Group Student E5 Sample of Post Test (Section A) - Experimental Group Student E6 Sample of Post Test (Section B) - Experimental Group Student F **Expert Reviewer Guidelines** F1 Expert Reviewer Rating - Story 1 F2 Expert Reviewer Rating - Story 2 F3 Expert Reviewer Rating - Story 3 F4 Expert Reviewer Rating - Story 4 05-45068**5**5 Expert Reviewer Rating - Story 5 an Tuanku Bainun F6 Expert Reviewer Rating - Story 7 G1 Content Validity Index - Story 1 G2Content Validity Index - Story 2



G3

G4

G5

G6

G7



Content Validity Index - Story 3

Content Validity Index - Story 4

Content Validity Index - Story 5

Content Validity Index - Story 6

Content Validity Index - Story 7

















- Pre Test and Post Test Result (Reading Comprehension) Experimental H1 Group
- H2 Pre Test and Post Test Result (Reading Comprehension) - Control Group
- H3 Pre Test and Post Test Result (Story Structure) - Experimental Group
- H4 Pre Test and Post Test Result (Story Structure) - Control Group
- I1 -I6 Sample of Research Instrument (Worksheets)
- J Written Retelling- Story Structure Rubrics
- K Structural Reading Comprehension Rubrics
- L Inter Rater Reliability Marks - Experimental Group Data
- M Inter Rater Reliability Marks - Control Group Data































CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION



1.1 Introduction

Reading is an essential life skill. It not only increases our knowledge, but also builds maturity and character, sharpens our thinking, and widens our awareness of social, economic, political, and environmental issues. Reading is a fundamental skill for learners, not just for learning but for life (Traves, 1994) with reading being defined as "...the ability to draw meaning from the printed page and interpret this information appropriately" (Grabe & Stoller, 2002, p. 9).

According to Clark and Rumbold (2006), studies show that reading gives a big impact on learners' educational performance. Learners who read for enjoyment daily not only perform better in reading tests than those who do not but also develop a broader vocabulary, increased general knowledge and a better understanding of other





















cultures. Furthermore, reading is more likely to determine whether a learner does well at school compared to their social or economic background status (OECD Report, 2016).

Reading skills are foundational building blocks at the elementary level and the earlier pupils with low reading skills receive interventions, the greater the interventions will impact their reading careers (Hausheer, Hansen, & Doumas, 2011). According to The National Reading Panel Report of USA (2000), phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary and comprehension strategies are five essential reading components in teaching children to read effectively.

The ultimate goal of reading is comprehension. According to Grabe (2009), 05-4506 reading comprehension is the ability to process text, understand its meaning, and to bupsi integrate it with what the reader already knows. There are a few fundamental skills required in efficient reading comprehension highlighted by Davis (1994) in his article on fundamental factors of reading comprehension. According to him, learners need to know the meaning of words and have the ability to understand meaning of a word from discourse context. They should also be able to follow organization of a passage. The ability to draw inferences from a passage about its contents and identify the main thought of a passage is also required for efficient reading. Learners should be able to answer questions related to the passage, and recognize the literary devices or propositional structures used. They should be able to determine its tone, understand the situational mood (agents, objects, temporal and spatial reference points, casual and intentional inflections, etc.). Finally, they should also have the skill to determine the





















writer's purpose, intent and point of view, and draw inferences about the writer (discourse-semantics).

To master the skill, one needs to be able to comprehend the materials read, which majority Malaysian students of are facing this problem. Ahmad Mazli (2007), Isarji and Ainol Madziah (2008), Jamaliah and Faridah Noor (2001) reported that Malaysian students have problems in approaching their academic reading texts. They face difficulties coping with such texts because they do not really understand what they are reading and, as a result, they are unable to link appropriate ideas from their readings to the assigned tasks given. Therefore, this study seeks to determine if the written retelling strategy helps to improve reading comprehension achievement of primary three pupils.



According to Brown and Cambourne (1987), the written retelling is a strategy that reflects a holistic concept in which learners are actively involved as they reconstruct a written retelling of the story read. Retelling has been found to significantly improve the story comprehension, sense of story structure, and oral language complexity of Native English Speakers (NSE) (Morrow, 1984, 1985, 1986; Stoicovy, 1997).

Retelling is a flexible strategy which can be applied according to teachers' and learners' needs. It involves having students orally reconstruct a story that they have read. It also requires students to activate their knowledge of how stories work and apply it to the new reading. According to the model adopted in Manyrawi (2013), there are six types of retelling:





















- 1. Oral-Oral: Students listen and retell orally.
- Oral-Drawing: Students listen and retell by drawing.
- Oral-Writing: Students listen and retell in writing.
- Written-Oral: Students read and retell orally.
- Written-Drawing: Students read and retell by drawing.
- 6. Written-Written: Students read and retell in writing.

From the above variations of retelling, it can be concluded that retelling can be categorized into two main types which are oral and written. Written retellings are similar to oral retellings except that instead of reading a story and describing it aloud, students are asked to write everything that they can recall after reading a text (Schisler, 2008). The theory behind the use of written retellings stems from the knowledge that 05-4506/reading and writing share many of the same developmental components and are bupsi mutually reinforcing (Fitzgerald & Shanahan, 2000).

This study will focus on written retelling because, through this strategy, pupils will be encouraged to be more critical and explore the relation between ideas, read between the lines to find clues, explore cause and effect, add previous knowledge from their own schemata, and then reconstruct the ideas and events in a new form stamped with their personality (Gibson, Gold, & Sgouros, 2003).

Moss, Leone, & Dipillo (1997) in their article on linking reading and writing through information trade books wrote that written retellings allow pupils to play an active role in reconstructing expository texts. The strategy enables pupils to reconstruct the materials they have read in their own form, which requires a clear





















understanding of what has been read. It serves as an assessment tool as teachers can see how much information was retained after reading or listening to a text. Additionally, the strategy gives teachers insights about pupils' knowledge of the genre and their ability to organize information. Moreover, they allow pupils to record their thoughts about the connections between their own lives and the books they are reading.

Based on the positive findings from the previous studies on the significant effects of written retelling, this will also give opportunities to Malaysian teachers to vary their teaching strategies and hence benefit the pupils.

05-450681.2 **Background of the Study**

One major issue in most schools in Malaysia especially in the rural areas is the inability of the pupils to understand the English language (Semtin & Maniam, 2015). Pupils who are able to read and understand well in English will find learning the subject enjoyable. However, for those who are not, they find it meaningless and will lose interest in their lessons, especially in the early stages as they will face difficulties when using the language (Greathouse, 1991).

Based on the Overview of the Economic Assessment of Malaysia (OECD, 2019) school-age students have achieved below-average scores on PISA, with scores for Mathematics, Science and Reading among the lowest of the 65 countries surveyed in 2012, 2015 and 2018 which affects one of Malaysia's competitive advantages within





















the region. In 2018, Malaysia scored 415 in Reading, a slight declined from 2015 result of 431 and 398 in 2012. However, the global average score in 2018 was 489 for Reading, indicating Malaysian scores are still far below the international standard. Thus, retelling strategy may be considered to aid students' understanding starting from the lower primary learners.

In October 2011, the Ministry of Education launched a review of the education system in order to develop a new National Education Blueprint - the Malaysia Education Blueprint 2013-2025 (referred to as the Education Blueprint). This document recognizes the increasing importance of English as a global language and the fact that the English proficiency of a population is linked to the country's economic development. Therefore, in 2013 the Ministry commissioned Cambridge 05-4506/English Language Assessment to undertake a comprehensive evaluation of the position of learning, teaching, and assessment of the English language in Malaysian schools from pre-school to pre-university (Cambridge Baseline Report, 2013).

To ensure Malaysian youngsters are able to develop the necessary proficiency skills in English, The Common European Framework of Reference for Languages (henceforth "CEFR") is being adopted by Malaysia and other countries across the globe, to the extent that it has become the *de facto* of the international standard. The CEFR distinguishes five communicative skills, namely listening, reading, spoken interaction, spoken production, and writing. Language proficiency is measured in relation to the five skills on a scale beginning with A1, and progressing through A2, B1, B2, and C1 to C2. This scale enables us to set targets for each stage of our English language program. The targets set to be achieved by 2025 for our pupils to











reach as they progress through the English language program are as shown in Figure 1. (English Language Education in Malaysia: An Agenda for Reform, 2015-2025).

Preschool	A1
Primary School	A2
Secondary School	B1/B2
Post-Secondary	B2
University	B2/C1
Teacher Education	C1

Figure 1.1 CEFR Targets

According to the Cambridge Baseline 2013 report on English Language in 05-4506 Malaysian Schools, their key findings were that on average the Year 6 pupils were at CEFR level A1 on overall skills (Listening, Reading, Writing and Speaking) with 57% of the pupils were below A1, 29% at level A1, 14% at A2 and none at level B1 and B2 of reading skills.

In the UPSR examination, reading comprehension is the most important component tested. There are at least five questions on linear and non-linear text which carry 30 out of 50 marks in the English -Comprehension paper. The ability of the pupils to answer the comprehension questions will determine whether they will pass or fail the exam.

Under the Standard Based Curriculum - KSSR, the lower primary students are required to pass the Literacy and Numeracy Screening 2.0 (LINUS 2.0) programme





















by Year Three. Reading is a vital part of the skills tested and the students' ability to read and understand the text will help them pass the test.

Due to the highlighted issues, an effective reading strategy is required to help improve pupils' reading comprehension. Thus, this study aims at exploring one of the strategies commonly used to aid reading comprehension of lower proficiency level pupils. This study seeks to specifically investigate the effects of written retelling strategy on reading comprehension of Year 3 pupils in a school located in Ipoh. Written retelling will be examined as a comprehension strategy that could help the primary three pupils to improve in their learning and develop their comprehension.

This study focuses on the written retelling strategy as past studies have 05-4506/recognized this strategy as an active procedure and flexible strategy. It helps to bupsi improve comprehension, strengthen listening and speaking skills, build and deepen pupil's vocabulary. The strategy also helps pupils to understand literary elements and nonfiction structures, and facilitates teachers in reading assessment (Shaw, 2005).

1.3 **Problem Statement**

The ability to read and understand in English is deemed an important skill to be acquired for academic, business and other purposes. Poor reading comprehension among the pupils may affect their abilities to succeed in school. All subjects, including Science and Mathematics require reading comprehension skills. Hence, pupils with low reading skills may result in obtaining low grades and poor test scores.





















Compared to the common practice where pupils read and lift for specific information from the story read to answer the reading comprehension questions, the retelling is a more holistic and developmentally appropriate way to evaluate pupils' understanding of story text (Morrow, 1989). Gordon and Braun (1982) found that retelling (written or oral) enhances a story structure leading to both improved retelling accuracy and greater comprehension. This is supported by Greathouse (1991) who stated that providing pupils with plenty of opportunities to experience stories and allowing them to retell simple stories may encourage their sense of story and lead to better comprehension.

Numerous past studies on written retellings as conducted by Rana Wijaya and Dacholfany (2018), Louis and Kulwinder (2018), Sylvia and Widiati (2017), Manyrawi (2013), Schisler, Konrad & Morgan (2010) and Han (2005), have reported the effectiveness of a written retelling strategy in aiding learners' comprehension; those studies, however, only focused on adult learners and native speakers of English. In the case of countries such as Malaysia, there is only one study reported on the use of retelling strategy for comprehending and interpreting short stories for secondary students by Louis and Kulwinder (2018) and none on written retelling as a strategy for improving the reading comprehension of primary level students. This study, therefore, seeks to examine the effects of written retelling strategy on reading comprehension of primary three pupils of a school in Malaysia.





















1.4 Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study is to investigate the effects of the written retelling strategy on the reading comprehension of Year Three pupils of a school located in Ipoh, Malaysia.

Written retelling is chosen as the reading strategy based on the research

conducted by Sylvia and Widiati (2017) who found that in the EFL context, the written retelling technique minimized the students' anxiety to demonstrate their comprehension compared to the oral retelling technique. This is also supported by Manyrawi (2013), which mentioned that the EFL students taught using this technique felt more comfortable and showed increased self-confidence, which had positive effect on their reading comprehension. Findings from both studies showed written retelling strategy is more effective on EFL students compared to oral retelling technique. Therefore, this study will examine whether written retelling strategy does help primary three pupils improve their reading comprehension by focusing on two aspects; the pupils' ability in answering the structural reading comprehension questions and their understanding of story structure.





















1.5 **Research Objectives**

The research aims at achieving the following objectives:

- 1) To determine the effect of written retelling strategy on pupils' reading comprehension.
- 2) To determine the effect of written retelling strategy on pupils' understanding of story structure.

1.6 **Research Questions**

To investigate the effects of written retelling strategy in improving primary three pupils' reading comprehension ability, this study addresses the following research questions:

- What is the effect of a written retelling strategy on the pupils' ability in 1. answering the structural comprehension questions?
- 2. Is there any significant difference between the control and experimental groups of students' story structure scores?
 - for overall story structure scores in the post-test? (a)
 - (b) for story structure scores in the post-test in terms of setting, beginning, middle, resolution, and sequence?





















1.7 Significance of the Study

This study hopes to examine the effects of written retelling strategy on reading comprehension of primary three pupils. The retelling method is not a new strategy but it remains under- utilised as ESL teachers are unaware of the technique (Han, 2005).

Retelling requires pupils to activate their knowledge of how stories work and apply it to the new reading. As part of retelling, students engage in ordering and summarizing information and in making inferences. The teacher can use written retelling as a way to assess how well pupils comprehend a story, and then use this information to help them develop a deeper understanding of what they have read.

Fitzgerald and Shanahan (2000) as cited in Manyrawi (2013) mentioned that the integration of teaching reading and writing will be beneficial in facilitating learning. This is because both of the skills shared many of the same developmental components. The written retelling task can motivate pupils to take a closer look at the text features, and offer new insights into the original material.

Both the teacher and students benefit from the activity as they explore innovative ideas, connect reading and writing in the context of the story, and create versions of their own (Larcy, 2008). Through this study, the teachers will also have a better picture of the pupils' weaknesses and their problems in reading.





















1.8 Limitations of the Study

Several limitations emerged in conducting this research. First, this research is a quasiexperimental study and will be conducted in one urban school only; therefore, the results will not be representative of pupils in other urban schools.

Second, this study only focuses on lower proficiency of pupils in an urban school. The pupils with moderate and higher proficiency in English are not tested in this study. The lower proficiency pupils are the critical group requiring attention and intervention in reading. Therefore, the results will not reflect the pupils at other proficiency levels.

Thirdly, this study was conducted in only eight weeks. The pupils were given bupsi only seven short stories during the intervention period. Hence, the result may not give big impact to pupils' overall reading proficiencies.

Finally, this research will be conducted only in one classroom that comprises a small group of pupils. Therefore to generalize the results to a larger group, the study should involve more participants at different levels.





















1.9 **Definition of Terms**

For the purpose of this study, the following terms are conceptualized as follows:

1.9.1 **Reading Comprehension**

In this study, reading comprehension is defined as the ability of the pupils to understand the content of the stories they read. To show understanding, pupils should be able to answer questions pertaining to the story read, make connections to their own basic knowledge and experience and ultimately able to share their reading experience with others. Manyrawi (2013) defines reading comprehension as the process of extracting and constructing meaning through interaction with written language, or a process of combining prior knowledge with new information in the text to generate new material through which readers can relate the author's experience to their own. As a result, the readers may be able to judge and express their own opinion on specific issues.

1.9.2 **Retelling Strategies**

According to Morrow (1989), retellings are post-reading and post-listening recalls whereby listeners or readers tell what they remember either orally or in writing. In this study, retelling strategies is used as a strategy to help pupils' to comprehend the story read. Retelling strategies is a system for evaluating the depth and breadth of student text understandings based on their attempts to retell or recall what they have





















read. It also helps pupils to develop a sense of story structure, and become more accurate in monitoring their understanding.

Retelling stories (free recall) has been previously researched as an assessment of comprehension (Brown & Cambourne, 1987; Gambrell, Pfeiffer, & Wilson, 1985; Irwin & Mitchell, 1983). The basic assumption among researchers is that retelling indicates something about the reader's assimilation and reconstruction of text information, and therefore reflects comprehension.

Written retelling strategy 1.9.3

Written Retelling is one of the strategies in retelling. In this study, written 05-4506 retelling is a process where pupils read the story and later recall the story by writing open the important ideas in complete sentences. Pupils recall the story by writing the story based on the elements of story structure in terms of characters, setting, problems, and solution or the main ideas of the story. Kutz and Roskelly (1991) stated when reading is taught, written letters, words and sentences represent the content of the material to be developed. Writing without understanding the text that has been written is almost impossible.

1.9.4 **Story Structure**

Story structures have been described in several different grammars. In this study, they are referred to a set of stories' component parts such as a setting, characters, theme, plot episodes, and resolution. Although the terminology may differ, generally





















similar categories are designated. Story structures are also called story elements (Greathouse, 1991).

1.10 **Summary**

This chapter introduced the importance of reading skills using written retelling strategy as an intervention to enhance reading comprehension. The study seeks to determine the effect of written retelling strategy on improving reading comprehension and understanding of a story structure for primary three pupils. This chapter also discussed on the significance and limitations of this study. The next chapter addresses the significance of reading comprehension and writing retelling approach. It also includes a review of previous studies on retelling strategies as an effective strategy in















