



05-4506832



[pustaka.upsi.edu.my](http://pustaka.upsi.edu.my)



Perpustakaan Tuanku Bainun  
Kampus Sultan Abdul Jalil Shah



PustakaTBainun



ptbupsi

**MODELLING OF TEACHERS' LEADERSHIP COMPETENCIES AND ITS  
RELATIONSHIPS WITH PRINCIPALS' DEVELOPMENTAL LEADERSHIP  
BEHAVIOR AND TEACHERS' COMMITMENT TO CHANGE**

**FANNY KHO CHEE YUET**



05-4506832



[pustaka.upsi.edu.my](http://pustaka.upsi.edu.my)



Perpustakaan Tuanku Bainun  
Kampus Sultan Abdul Jalil Shah



PustakaTBainun



ptbupsi

**THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE  
DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY  
(EDUCATION MANAGEMENT)**

**FAKULTI PENGURUSAN DAN EKONOMI  
UNIVERSITI PENDIDIKAN SULTAN IDRIS**

**2017**



05-4506832



[pustaka.upsi.edu.my](http://pustaka.upsi.edu.my)



Perpustakaan Tuanku Bainun  
Kampus Sultan Abdul Jalil Shah



PustakaTBainun



ptbupsi



## ABSTRACT

The main purpose of this study is to develop an empirically substantiated Teacher Leadership Competency Model (TLCM). The study also aspired to identify the relationship between Teachers' Leadership Competencies (TLC) and Principals' Developmental Leadership Behavior (PDLB), PDLB and Teachers' Commitment to Change (TCC). Further, the study also intended to ascertain the relationships among TLC, PDLB and TCC. Structural Equation Modeling was applied to test the model. A total of 928 teachers from 58 High Performing Secondary Schools in Malaysia completed the survey. The analysis yielded a four-factor TLC Model, namely Fostering a Collaborative Culture; Facilitating Improvement and Establishing Standards for Student Behavior, Modelling Leadership Attributes and Skills; and Performing as Referral Leader. The finding shows that TLC Model will benefit school principals in developing effective teacher leadership among teachers in schools. Meanwhile, the TLC Scale with 16 items offers a promising new measure for examining TLC. The result of the study also shows that TLC is significantly related to PDLB. PDLB is also significantly related to TCC; and PDLB not only mediated the relationship between TLC and TCC, but indeed a partial mediator. As the findings confirmed that the quality of TLC matters in determining PDLB and TCC, it is a *sine quo non* for school teachers to equip themselves with adequate and sufficient TLC so as to implement school change successfully. Also as PDLB plays a critical role in governing the relationship between TLC and TCC, in-depth focus on PDLB is the most effective way to increase the likelihood of school principals to initiate school change. The finding encourage a fresh look at teacher leadership development and alter the traditional approach of teachers in implementing change.





## PEMBINAAN MODEL KOMPETENSI KEPIMPINAN GURU DAN HUBUNGANNYA DENGAN TINGKAH LAKU PEMBANGUNAN KEPIMPINAN PENGETUA SERTA KOMITMEN GURU TERHADAP PERUBAHAN

### ABSTRAK

Tujuan utama kajian ini ialah untuk membangunkan sebuah Model Kompetensi Kepimpinan Guru (MKKG). Kajian ini juga bertujuan mengenal pasti hubungan Kompetensi Kepimpinan Guru (KKG) dengan Tingkah Laku Pembangunan Kepimpinan Pengetua (TLPKP), TLPKP dengan Komitmen Guru Terhadap Perubahan (KGP) dan seterusnya hubungan antara KKG, TLPKP dan KGP. *Structural Equation Modeling* (SEM) digunakan untuk menguji model. Data dikumpul menggunakan soal selidik yang diedarkan kepada 928 orang guru dari 58 Sekolah Menengah Berprestasi Tinggi. Dapatan kajian menghasilkan empat faktor KKG iaitu Memupuk Budaya Kerjasama, Memudahkan Pengajaran dan Mewujudkan Standard Tingkah Laku Pelajar, Pemodelan Atribut Kepimpinan dan Kemahiran Kepimpinan, serta Peranan sebagai Pakar Rujuk. Model KKG memberi manfaat kepada pengetua sekolah dalam membangunkan kepimpinan guru yang efektif dalam kalangan guru di sekolah. Sementara itu, 16 item yang mewakili KKG merupakan instrumen baharu yang berkesan untuk mengukur KKG. Selain itu, kajian juga mendapati bahawa KKG mempunyai hubungan signifikan dengan TLPKP. TLPKP juga mempunyai hubungan signifikan dengan KGP, dan TLPKP bukan sahaja menjadi perantara hubungan KKG dan KGP, malah merupakan perantara separa. Implikasi kajian menunjukkan bahawa guru sekolah perlu melengkapkan diri dengan KKG yang sesuai. Selain itu, fokus mendalam terhadap TLPKP merupakan pendekatan paling berkesan untuk meningkatkan kebarangkalian guru-guru menerima perubahan memandangkan TLPKP memainkan peranan kritikal antara hubungan KKG dan KGP. Dapatan kajian turut membuka pandangan baharu dalam pembangunan kepimpinan guru serta mengubah pendekatan tradisional guru sekolah dalam melaksanakan perubahan.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iv
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	v
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	vi
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	vii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xii

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

xx

<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	1
1.1	Overview and Rationale	1
1.2	Statement of the Problem	8
1.3	Purpose of the Study	11
1.4	Objectives of the Study	12
1.5	Research Questions	13
1.6	Research Hypotheses	14
1.7	Significance of the Study	15

1.8	Overview of the Conceptual Framework and Theoretical Foundation of the Study	19
1.9	Operational Definition	22
1.10	Organization of the Study	29
1.11	Conclusion	31

## **CHAPTER 2      REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE** 32

2.1	Introduction	32
2.2	Leadership for Learning: Concept, Principles and Practice	33
2.2.1	Teachers Who Leads	36

2.2.2	The Three Tier Model	37
2.3	Leadership in Organization: An Overview	41
2.4	Leadership and School Improvement	43
2.4.1	Leadership Competencies of Teachers	43
2.4.2	Leadership Behaviors of Principals	47
2.5	Leader and Leadership Development	49
2.6	Leadership and Competency	52
2.7	Teachers' Leadership Competencies (TLC)	54
2.8	Principals' Developmental Leadership Behavior (PDLB)	74
2.8.1	Research on Leadershsip Behavior	76

2.8.2	A Review of Leadership Behavior as Mediating Role in Leadership Research	83
2.9	Teachers' Commitment to Change (TCC)	85
2.9.1	Research on Teachers' Commitment to Change	91
2.10	The Relationship between Teachers' Leadership Competencies and Principals' Developmental Leadership Behavior	93
2.10.	Research on Teachers' Leadership Behavior and Principals' Developmental Leadership Behavior	99
2.11	The Relationship between Principals' Developmental Leadership Behavior and Teachers' Commitment to Change	102
2.11.	Research on Relationship between Leadership Behavior and Commitment to Change.	106
2.12	The Relationships among Teachers' Leadership, Principals' Developmental Leadership Behavior and Teachers' Commitment to Change	108
2.12.	Research on Relationship among Leadership Competencies, Leadership Behavior and Commitment to Change	111
2.13	Conclusion	115
<b>CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>		<b>117</b>
3.1	Introduction	117
3.2	Research Design	118
3.2.1	The Hypothetico-Deductive Method	118
3.2.2	Quantitative Approach Using Survey Method	122

3.2.3	Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)	123
3.3	Research Population	124
3.3.1	Sample Size	127
3.3.2	Sampling Procedures	129
3.4	Research Instruments	132
3.4.1	Development of Teachers' Leadership Competencies Scale (TLCS)	134
3.4.2	Development of Principals' Developmental Leadership Behavior Scale	139
3.4.3	Development of Teachers' Commitment to Change Scale (TCCS)	141
3.5	Pre-Test Approaches	148
3.5.1	Review by NRGs Research Team Members and Supervisor	148
3.5.2	Verification and Comments from External Panels	149
3.5.3	Translation and Back Translation.	158
3.5.4	Personal Interviews	159
3.5.5	Evaluation of Item Clarity	159
3.6	Pilot-Test Approaches	161
3.6.1	Assessment of Internal Consistency Reliability	162
3.6.2	Assessment of Construct Validity	166

3.6.2.1	Exploratory Factor Analysis on TLCS	167
---------	-------------------------------------	-----



3.6.2.2	Exploratory Factor Analysis on PDLBS	172
3.6.2.3	Exploratory Factor Analysis on TCCS	176
3.7	Final Survey Administration	180
3.7.1	Survey Instrument Arrangement	182
3.8	Data Collection Method	184
3.9	Data Analysis Procedure	186
3.9.1	Data Preparation Prior to Analysis	186
3.9.2	Preliminary Data Analysis	188
3.9.3	Validating the Measurement Model of Latent Construct Using Confirmatory Data Analysis	188
3.9.3.1	Convergent Validity	190
3.9.3.2	Develop Discriminant Validity Test Index Summary	191
3.10	Assessing the Pooled Measurement Model Using Second Order CFA	192
3.11	Assessing the Structural Model	194
3.12	Ethical Consideration	195
3.13	Conclusion	197

## **CHAPTER 4 DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULT** 200

4.1	Introduction	200
-----	--------------	-----





4.2	Data Preparation Prior to Analysis	201
4.3	Demographic Characteristics	204
4.4	The Validation Procedure for Latent Constructs Using the Pooled-CFA	206
4.4.1	The Pooled-CFA for the Measurement Model of TLC Constructs	207
4.4.1.1	The Assessment of Construct Validity of TLC Scale	210
4.4.1.2	Convergent Validity and Composite Reliability	211
4.4.1.3	Summary	213
4.4.2	The Pooled-CFA for the Measurement Model of PDLB Constructs	215
4.4.2.1	The Assessment of Construct Validity of PDLB Scale	218
4.4.2.2	Convergent Validity and Composite Reliability	218
4.4.2.3	Summary	220
4.4.3	The Pooled-CFA for the Measurement Model of TCC Constructs	221
4.4.3.1	The Assessment of Construct Validity of TCC Scale	224
4.4.3.2	Convergent Validity and Composite Reliability	225
4.4.3.3	Summary	227



4.5	The Pooled-CFA to Validate the Measurement Model of TLC-PDLB-TCC Constructs	229
4.5.1	Unidimensionality	229
4.5.2	Construct Validity	229
4.5.3	Convergent Validity	230
4.5.4	Discriminant Validity	230
4.6	The Assessment of the TLC-PDLB-TCC Structural Model	232
4.6.1	The Mediation Test	233
4.7	Summary of the Hypotheses Testing and Finding	236



<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>DISCUSSION, RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSION</b>	247
5.1	Introduction	247
5.2	Summary of the Findings	248
5.3	Discussion on Findings	251
5.3.1	To Develop and Test TLCM	251
5.3.2	To Test the Model of PDLB	264
5.3.3	To Test the Model of TCC	275
5.3.4	To Identify Whether TLC Is Significantly Related to PDLB.	278



5.3.5	To Identify Whether PDLB Is Positively Related to TCC.	283
5.3.6	To Identify the Relationship among TLC, PDLB and TCC.	285
5.4	Implication and Recommendation	290
5.4.1	Theoretical Implication	290
5.4.2	Practical Implications	296
5.4.3	Limitations and Directions for Future Research	301
5.5	Conclusion	306
<b>REFERENCES</b>		308
<b>APPENDICES</b>		356

## LIST OF TABLES

Table No.		Pages
2.1	The Five Teachers' Leadership Principles Proposed for the Study and the Concerned Competencies	68
2.2	Proposed Teachers' Leadership Competencies Model for the Study and Its Articulation Based on Teacher Leader Model Standards, The Framework for Teaching Evaluation Instrument, Teacher Leadership Skills Framework, and Teachers as Leaders Framework.	69
2.3	Developmental Leadership Behavior Components Identified in Selected Leadership Publications (2000 to 2014)	75
2.4	The Relationship between the Proposed Components of PDLB and TLC	98
3.1	Ranking of Public Secondary Schools Based on Score Composite at the End of the Year 2014 by Ministry of Education, Malaysia	127
3.2	Total Number of High Performing Secondary School Involved in Final Survey	129
3.3	Total Number of School and Respondent for Survey in Each State Based on Proportionate Stratification	131
3.4	The Five Concerned Competencies, Teachers' Leadership Competencies and Its Scale Items	137
3.5	The Summary of Exploratory Factor Analysis results of the original version of TCCS	144
3.6	The Summary of TCCS utilized in previous study	145

05-4506832	pustaka.upsi.edu.my	Perpustakaan Tuanku Bainun Kampus Sultan Abdul Jalil Shah	PustakaTBainun	ptbupsi
3.7	The Scale Item of Teachers' Commitment to Change by Dimension			146
3.8	Results of Content Adequacy Test of TLCS			151
3.9	Results of Content Adequacy Test of PDLBS			154
3.10	Results of Content Adequacy Test of TCCS			155
3.11	Average Percentage of Inter Rater Agreement on Content Adequacy of TLCS			156
3.12	Average Percentage of Inter Rater Agreement on Content Adequacy of PDLBS			157
3.13	Average Percentage of Inter Rater Agreement on Content Adequacy of TCCS			157
3.14	Item Clarity Average Scores of the Construct and Domain of NMLCI			160
05-4506832	Retained Items of TLCS from Content Adequacy Test to PCA and the Selected Items for Final Survey			164
3.16	KMO and Bartlett's Test on TLCS			167
3.17	The Total Variance Explained of TLCS (n=145)			168
3.18	The Rotation Method of 'Varimax' for Teacher Leadership Competency Scale (TLCS) with Values of Consistence Items (n=145).			169
3.19	Selected Items of TLCS for Final Survey, Its Initial Construct, Factor Loading and Cronbach's Alpha			171
3.20	KMO and Bartlett's Test on PDLBS			172
3.21	The Total Variance Explained of PDLBS (n=145)			173
3.22	The Rotation Method of 'Varimax' for Principals' Developmental Leadership Behavior Scale (PDLBS) with Values of Consistence Items (n=145).			174



3.23	Selected Items of PDLBS for Final Survey, Its Initial Construct, Factor Loading and Cronbach's Alpha	175
3.24	KMO and Bartlett's Test on TCCS	176
3.25	The Total Variance Explained of TCCS (n=145)	177
3.26	The Rotation Method of 'Varimax' for Teachers' Commitment to Change Scale (TCCS) with Values of Consistence Items (n=145).	178
3.27	Selected Items of TCCS for Final Survey, Its Initial Construct, Factor Loading and Cronbach's Alpha	179
3.28	The Total Number of Item of Each Stage for the Development of NMLCI	180
3.29	The Thresholds of the Assessment of Construct Validity of the Measurement Model	191



4.1	Demographic Characteristics of Respondent	206
4.2	The CFA Result for TLC Construct	212
4.3	The Summarized Assessments of Convergent Validity for TLCS	213
4.4	Summary of Results of Hypotheses Testing for RQ1	214
4.5	The CFA Results for PDLB Construct	219
4.6	The Summarized Assessments of Convergent Validity for PDLBS	220
4.7	Summary of Results of Hypotheses Testing for RQ2	221
4.8	The CFA Result for TCC Construct	225
4.9	The Summarized Assessments of Convergent Validity of TCC Constructs	226



4.10	Summary of Results of Hypotheses Testing for RQ3	228
4.11	The CFA Result for Pooled Measurement Model of TLC-PDLB-TCC	230
4.12	The Discriminant Validity Index Summary	231
4.13	The Regression Weights and Its Significance Value	234
4.14	The Result of Bootstrapping Procedure in Testing a Mediator PDLBM (Figure 4.10)	236
4.15	Summary of Results of Hypotheses Testing for RQ4 – RQ7	237
4.16	Summary of Results of Hypotheses Testing (H1-H7)	238
4.17	The Proposed and Specified Teachers' Leadership Competencies and the Associated Competencies of the Study	242
4.18	The Specified Principals' Developmental Leadership Behavior Scale (PDLBS) and the Concerned Items	245
4.19	The Specified Teachers' Commitment to Change Scale (TCCTS) and the Concerned Items	245








## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.		Pages
2.1	The Three Aspects of Leading and Learning based on MacBeath	35
2.2	The Three Tier Model or ‘Wedding Cake’ based on MacBeath	38
2.3	Distributed Leadership Practice. Adapted from Spillane, 2016	54
2.4	The Proposed Teacher Leadership Competency Model	67
2.5	Five Components of Principals’ Developmental Leadership Behavior	76
2.6	Three Components of Teachers’ Commitment to Change	91
2.7	The Relationships among TLC, PDLB and TCC and the Underpinned Theories	115
3.1	The Hypothetico-Deductive Method	121
3.2	The Administration of Data Collection and Time Frame via Mailing	185
4.1	The Initial Second Order CFA for Measurement Model of TLC Construct	209
4.2	The Final Second Order CFA for Measurement Model of TLC Construct	210
4.3	The Initial Second Order CFA for Measurement Model of PDLB Construct	216





 05-4506832	 pustaka.upsi.edu.my	 Perpustakaan Tuanku Bainun Kampus Sultan Abdul Jalil Shah	 PustakaTBainun	 ptbupsi
4.4	The Final Second Order CFA for Measurement Model of PDLB Construct	217		
4.5	The Initial Second Order CFA for Measurement Model of TCC Constructs	223		
4.6	The Final Second Order CFA for Measurement Model of TCC Constructs	224		
4.7	The Pooled Measurement Model of TLC-PDLB-TCC	231		
4.8	The Standardized Path Coefficients between Constructs in the Study	233		
4.9	The Regression Path Coefficients between Constructs in the Study	234		
4.10	The Procedure for Testing the Mediator in the Model	235		
4.11	Four Domains of the Teachers' Leadership Competencies Specified by the Study	239		
4.12	The Specified Teacher Leadership Competency Model (TLCM)	246		



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AGFI	Adjusted Goodness of Fit
AVE	Average Variance Extracted
CAB	Capacity Beliefs
CFA	Confirmatory Factor Analysis
CFI	Comparative Fit Index
CHA	Charismatic
COB	Context Beliefs
COM	Competitive
CRI	Composite Reliability Index
DEV	Developer
DSS	Daily Secondary School
EFA	Exploratory Factor Analysis
FCC	Fostering a Collaborative Culture
FIES	Facilitating Improvement and Establishing Standards for Students' Behavior
FOC	Focused
FRSS	Fully Residential Secondary School
GOF	Goodness of Fit
GPS	Grade Point Average
HPSS	High Performing Secondary School
ML	Maximum Likelihood
MLAS	Modelling Leadership Attributes and Skills
NFI	Normed Fit Index
NMLCI	Nich-Malaysian Leadership Competency Instrument
PCA	Principal Component Analysis
PDLB	Principals' Developmental Leadership Behavior
PDLBS	Principals' Developmental Leadership Behavior Scale
PEG	Personal Goals
POD	Participating in Organizational Development
PRL	Performing as Referral Leader
RMSEA	Root Mean Square Error of Approximation
RO	Research Objectives
RSS	Religious Secondary School
SEM	Structural Equation Modelling
SLT	Social Learning Theory
SMC	Squared Multiple Correlations
SUP	Supportive
SQEM	Standard Quality Education Malaysia
TCC	Teachers' Commitment to Change
TCCS	Teachers' Commitment to Change Scale
TLC	Teachers' Leadership Competencies
TLCM	Teacher Leadership Competency Model
TLCS	Teacher Leadership Competency Scale
TLI	Tucker-Lewis Index
VIF	Variance Inflation Factor





## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Overview and Rationale



In today's ever-changing world, teacher quality is embedded in an environment to be the most significant school-based factor determining student outcomes and educational improvement (Cochran-Smith, 2006, Darling-Hammond, 2012). Additionally, Malaysian Education Blueprint 2013-2025 also affirmed that teachers who assume formal leadership roles in the classrooms as well as school leaders who assume more formal leadership roles in their schools, serve as the most important school-based drivers of student outcomes.

Nonetheless, research has shown that the commonly held view concerning the effects of school leaders' leadership on school outcomes is not warranted. This view is aligned with Hallinger and Heck (1996) who claimed that school leaders had less and indirect effect on student achievement as compared to subject and classroom teachers.



Hence, school leaders should focus on school condition in which leadership practice is enhanced (Leithwood & Jantzi, 2000).

Indeed, research on teacher leadership has emphasized on teachers assuming new role as instructional leaders (Darling-Hammond, 1999; Hill & Amabile, 1993; Crowther, 2002). In other words, teachers should portray leadership values and perform as leaders particularly in creating effective instructional practices based on their specialized area which will contribute to school improvement (Andrews, Crowther, Hann & McMaster, 2002). The emphasis on school improvement would greatly depend on the effectiveness of shared leadership approach implemented in school (Chesterton & Duignan, 2004).

In fact, the norm of such a shared approach requires teachers to share leadership responsibilities with principals (Crowther et al, 2002a & 2002b). Principals, on the other hand, need to distribute leadership responsibilities among teachers (Lashway, 2003; Pearce & Sims, 2002; Harris, 2002; Spillane, Halverson & Diamond, 2001; Elmore, 2000). Similarly, Pearce and Sims (2002) had conducted a research on how leadership is distributed, as well as distributive influence of positional leaders and effectiveness of shared leadership of informal leaders, in which leadership practice in schools was assigned as the unit of analysis.

Elmore (2000), too, emphasized that leadership in schools need to be distributed among school communities, in which expertise is incorporated within a culture of coherence, collaborative work, guidance and direction for improvement of instructional practice (Katz, Earl & Jaafar, 2009). Silins and Mulford (2002) believe that a whole-



school re-structuring require teachers to engage in leadership responsibilities and students' development. Distributed leadership therefore, need to be relocated to other school communities (MacBeath, 1998; Day, Harris & Hadfield, 2001; Harris 2002).

With respect to support whole school re-structuring process, Harris (2002) revealed that strong collegial relationship, trustworthy, supportive, enquiry-based focused are essential factors for effective school reform to occur. The undelying norms, values, beliefs, and assumptions in the collegial relationship also lead to effective teamwork among teachers (Peterson, 1994). Silins and Mulford (2002), provide evidence that students' achievement will improve when teachers are empowered in decision making particularly on the effectiveness of instructional practices and assessment.



Nonetheless, empowerment is hardly to establish and maintain in practice if leadership is not individually desired and distributed (Harris, 2002). Hence, principals need to find ways to influence teachers to assume leadership role as classroom instructional leaders while providing support and resources in changing current individualistic instructional practices to collaborative practices. Obviously, a new paradigm in teaching profession is needed to provide new forms of leadership in schools and communities (Crowther et al., 2002a).

Duignan and Marks (2003), on the other hand, claimed that schools need a powerful professional development to develop leadership competencies among potential teachers in a shared leadership framework. Likewise, principals need to have the competency to share leadership responsibilities among teachers and create



conducive environment for leadership practice (Lambert, 1998), which lead to school-based teacher leadership and teachers as ‘change agent’.

As change agent, teachers need to identify the support needed to initiate change in schools and where leading change is required from the classroom (Teacher Leadership Exploratory Consortium, 2011). Although teachers have often served as ‘representatives’ rather than “leaders’ who enact change (Livingston, 1992), their role as ‘leaders’ are still lacked of flexibility, lengthy, and ongoing commitment of time and energy. Their role as ‘leaders’ would be enhanced if they perform as ‘leaders’ in the professional development community, as well as members of school-wide leadership teams and instructional support teams (Childs-Bowen, Moller, & Scrivner, 2000; Camegie Forum on Education and the Economy, 1986; Holmes, 1986; Elmore, 2002; Livingston, 1992). Simply stated, teachers are more competent in initiating change in a comprehensive and continuous manner (Howey, 1988; Livingston, 1992), without necessary leaving the classroom.

In fact, the task of initiating effective reform requires a multi-dimensional set of leadership competencies (Day & Harris, 2002). Competencies is one of the three critical factors that contribute to successful school reform, followed by commitment and capability (Marcus & Pringle, 1995). Identifying competencies is significantly important in the leadership development puzzle (Yamazaki & Kayes, 2004) as it has a great influence in teacher leadership success in schools, and can be fine-tuned for greater efficiency in leading change from the classroom (Teacher Leadership Exploratory Consortium, 2011; Tubbs & Schulz, 2006).

All too often, problem and complication of leading change from classrooms arises when there are multiple challenges and when change is needed on various fronts. The challenge, for teachers in leading change from classrooms, is to ensure that implementation is an intrinsic part of policy development and that there is a clear theory of change that not only explains how goals will be achieved but also details the necessary steps and changes in practice to achieve them (Fullan, 2001b; Schon, 1983). Similarly, school system will be flex if teachers reluctant to initiate change from the classroom level.

In fact, leading change from the classroom is closely linked with the development of teacher leadership (Kho, Hamidah Yusof, & Syed Ismail Syed Mohamad, 2014, 2015, 2015a, 2015c, 2016). Development of teacher leaders is considered to be the significant outcome of teachers' commitment to change from the classrooms. In this regard, it is a privilege for teacher leaders to walk with principals who portray developmental leadership behavior in order to be competent teacher leaders (Kho et al., 2015, 2015b). In general, teacher leaders therefore need to understand exactly what they are leading, clearly communicate their intentions for teachers' leadership while actively building connections, coherence and alignment across teachers' leadership throughout the classroom. This alignment is best achieved through identifying a few clear priorities for teachers' leadership in enhancing students' academic achievement and ensuring that these are embraced, embedded and reinforced (Fullan, 2010).

As teacher leadership is widely recognized in the process of school reform effort (Katzenmeyer & Moller, 2001; Muijs & Harris, 2003), teacher leaders must play a vital



role in influencing other teachers to assume leadership responsibilities needed for the reform (Westley, 1991). As a matter of fact, principals who always portray positive developmental leadership behavior, i.e. strive for excellence in both academic and sports of the school, also play a significant role in developing teachers' leadership competencies, and capacity building (Spillane & Coldren, 2011). Over time, in turn, their competencies influence teachers' commitment to assume new leadership responsibilities. Precisely, teachers collaborate with the teacher leaders as a source of certainty and actions (Blasé & Anderson, 1995; Oreg & Berson, 2011) in their effort to lead change from the classroom. Being behavioral predisposition, principals' positive developmental leadership behavior influence teachers' competencies toward leading development. In other words, in the process of leading change from the classroom, principals enhance teachers' leadership competencies and commitment to change.



Without sustainable teacher leadership, leading change from the classroom will be superficial (Fullan, 2010). Levin (2008) emphasized that development of leadership competencies requires sustainable effort and collaborative culture in leading change from the classroom. Teachers in schools with a collaborative culture open to teacher leadership find that there are many opportunities to help one another. In this type of school, teachers move in and out of one another's classrooms to identify effective solution for instructional difficulties (Little, 1982; Rosenholtz, 1989). They accept professional talk as essential to their growth and development. In schools where collaboration is the exception, teachers rarely know what is going on in the classroom right next door (Heller & Firestone, 1995). If teachers want to be leaders and their school community celebrates the value of teacher leadership, then the door is open to

